



**VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Camarillo City Hall
Administrative Conference Room
601 Carmen Drive
Camarillo, CA

Thursday, November 17, 2011 at 9:00 AM

- Item # 1** Call to Order
- Item # 2** Public Comments
- Item # 3** Approval of October 20, 2011 Minutes
- Item # 4** Proposed Caltrans Local Programs Monitoring List
- Receive and file report on proposed Caltrans monitoring list.
- Item # 5** Programming of Additional STP, CMAQ, and TE Funds
- Approve programming of \$1,342,340 in STP funds, \$1,998,700 of CMAQ funds, and \$977,000 in TE funds, contingent on funds being obligated by November 30, 2012. In addition, approve the transfer of \$354,120 of unused STP funds from the Rice/101 Interchange Improvement in Oxnard to the Hueneme Road Widening in Oxnard, to offset the Rice/101 project having obligated some Ports Access Earmark funds programmed for Hueneme Road, rather than the STP funds.
- Item # 6** Mini Call for Projects Guidelines
- Approve guidelines for a new Mini-Call for Projects to program STP, CMAQ and TE funds anticipated to come available during Fiscal Years (FY) 2011/12 and 2012/13; approve Mini-Call schedule contained in the agenda item; and appoint a Task Force to discuss how to create a “shelf” of ready-to-go projects which can be funded if necessary to prevent a loss of program capacity, and to review project scoring.
- Item # 7** Status of Caltrans Ventura County Satellite Office
- Discuss status of proposal to close Satellite Office.

Item # 8 STP, CMAQ, and TE Funds Status

- Review project status.

Item # 9 Future Agenda Items

Congestion Management Program Update /Approval

Periodic Highway Construction Updates

Invite SCAG to the TTAC meeting

Regional Transportation Funding & Planning

Update on the new Federal Program Authorization

Periodic Update on Prop 1B funds

City of Moorpark Functional Classification

Green house Bill Update (SB375)

Item # 10 Next Meeting January 20, 2012

Item #11 Adjournment

MINUTES OF THE VCTC TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
October 20, 2011

Item #1 - Call to Order

Vice Chair David Fleisch of Ventura County called the meeting to order at 9:02 AM. The attached attendance sheet shows those present.

Item #2 - Public Comments

Peter De Haan of VCTC announced the scheduling of two Caltrans training workshops. The first is on November 8, 2011 from 8:00 – 4:00 in the Thousand Oaks City Hall, on the subject of Utility Relocation. The second training, on Local Assistance Environmental Procedures, will be November 30th at 10:00 in the Camarillo City Hall.

Morris Zarbi of Caltrans announced that the project selection for the latest round of Safe Routes to Schools has been posted.

Item #3 – Approval of September 15, 2011 Minutes

Farhad Miran made a motion to approve the September 15, 2011 meeting minutes. The motion was seconded by Ken Matsuoka, and passed unanimously.

Item #4 – Status of Caltrans Ventura Satellite Office

Ali Zaghari of Caltrans District 7 informed the Committee that Caltrans has sent out a letter of intent, announcing District 7's intention to close the Ventura County Satellite Office, and requesting comments. The office opened in the 1980's, and is primarily used for processing project development permits. The permit volume has dropped significantly in recent years and technology has improved to facilitate sharing of documents and teleconferencing. So District 7 wants to close the office to save costs. The inspectors currently stationed in the office would work out of the local maintenance office. District 7 plans to exceed expectations while not reducing the level of service.

Darren Kettle of VCTC stated that Michael Miles, District 7 Director, had shared with him the issue of the precipitous drop in the number of permits, and that his response was that while he can understand the business decision in light of the state's financial problems, there will be a perceived loss of Ventura County presence. VCTC itself has little interaction with the Satellite Office. Darren encouraged TTAC to let Caltrans know how often their agencies talk to that office.

Tom Mericle of Ventura responded that they probably interact with the office daily, specifically calling Jan Whitcomb to help determine the correct District 7 person to contact regarding various issues. Jan also directs local citizen complaints to the right people. He suggested that if the office is closed, Jan could be located in the construction office. Encroachment permits are convenient in the Satellite Office but the mail will work. We could work with Caltrans to improve its video conference capability.

Mohammed Fatemi of Thousand Oaks said that they deal with the office a lot. There can be problems in communicating with the main District 7 office. It is important that there be a couple of people in Ventura County who agencies can work with as their central point of contact. The importance of the office goes beyond encroachment permits, which happen at the end of the project approval process. There are many other issues that project sponsors work on, involving different Caltrans departments, but the Satellite Office tells them where to go.

Ken Matsuoka of Camarillo said that closeness is an issue. Pulling together the required people to go to a meeting in Los Angeles is a major task, requiring scheduling two weeks ahead. On the other hand, if the person is in Ventura, they can just go over there and solve the problem.

Farhad Miran of Simi Valley said that sending people Downtown is an issue, and Simi Valley might raise this. They are focused on making sure their citizens get good service, and this includes those who need a Caltrans encroachment permit. It is easier to send such people to Ventura, and once there it is easier for them to find the right people.

Darren Kettle suggested that regardless of the decision made by Caltrans on where to physically locate staff, it will help with the perception of service if Caltrans provides an "805" number for people to call.

David Fleisch recalled that the office had been set up to provide an ombudsman service, so it is shortsighted to view the issue solely on the basis of the number of permits. People want to know they can call someone here who can figure out what they need to do. It is nice to say that Caltrans will keep the same level of service but it could be a nightmare unless Caltrans restructures how to do outreach. David also said that it was good that Caltrans came to the meeting, and that it is important for Caltrans to have staff other than Local Assistance regularly come to TTAC.

Ali assured TTAC that Caltrans will look at how to maintain its current level of service. He suggested possibly making a one-page list of Caltrans contacts for distribution to agencies in Ventura County.

Item #5 – 2012 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Submittal

Peter De Haan presented the staff recommendation for projects to be included in the 2012 STIP submittal. He noted that the recommendation also includes a commitment of \$20.4 million of future Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds to provide full funding for the Route 101/23 Interchange improvement. He noted that STP funds are typically programmed by VCTC through a call for projects, but it is also appropriate to program a portion of the funds through the state highway priority list. The proposed STP commitment would use 2-3 years of the Ventura County STP apportionment.

Tom Mericle moved to approve the staff recommendation, seconded by Mohammed Fatemi. The motion passed unanimously.

Item #6 - Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Local Programs District 7 Liaison

Jacob Waclaw introduced himself as the new FHWA Local Programs District 7 Liaison. He is based on FHWA's Los Angeles office which is being beefed up, with some staff moving from Sacramento. He said he will attend TTAC as often as he can.

Item #7 – VCTC Comprehensive Transportation Plan

Darren Kettle presented a PowerPoint presentation on the ongoing VCTC Comprehensive Transportation Plan. David Fleisch commented that there are many highways with dire needs for improvements, especially safety improvements, and this fact is not included. Darren agreed that the plan needs to have a safety component.

Item #8 – Programming of STP, CMAQ and TE funds

Due to the lack of time this item was carried over to the November meeting.

Item #9 – Status of Federal Transportation Improvement Program

Peter De Haan announced that within the next few weeks he would be sending out the TIP sheets for updating in preparation for the 2013 Federal TIP, and local agencies will need to mark up the TIP sheets and return them to VCTC.

Item #10 – 2012 Committee Meeting Schedule

The 2012 Committee Meeting Schedule was approved without objection.

Item #12- Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for November 10, 2011; an announcement will be e-mailed to the group prior to the meeting.

Item #13 - Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned.

ATTENDANCE

10/20/11

LIST OF TTAC MEMBERS / ALTERNATES

Badge #	NAME	PHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
	Ken Matsuoka	383-5672	kmatsuoka@ci.camarillo.ca.us	
	Bill Golubics	388-5356	bgolubics@ci.camarillo.ca.us	
	Bert Rapp	524-1500 x231	brapp@ci.fillmore.ca.us	
	Tom Scott	524-1500 x 234	tscott@ci.fillmore.ca.us	
	Glenn Hawks	640-2560	hawks@ci.ojai.ca.us	
	Greg Grant		grant@ci.ojai.ca.us	
	Andrea Boggs	646-5581 ext 209	boggs@ci.ojai.ca.us	
	Dave Klotzle	517-6285	dklotzle@ci.moorpark.ca.us	
	Martin Erickson	385-7870	Martin.Erickson@ci.oxnard.ca.us	
	Jason Samonte	385-7872	Jason.Samonte@ci.oxnard.ca.us	
	Mamerto Estepa	986-6589	mestepa@ci.port-hueneme.ca.us	
	Kit Nell	986-6500	knell@ci.port-hueneme.ca.us	
073	Andres Santanaria	986-6568	asantanaria@ci.port-hueneme.ca.us	
	Brian Yanez	933-4212	byanez@spcity.org	
05	Chic Dabbs	583-6809	CDABBS@simivalley.org	for Finked Mine
	David Medina	583-6810	dmedina@simivalley.gov	
033	Kamran Panah	583-6886	kpanah@simivalley.org	K. Panah
035	Jay Spurgin	449-2444	jspurgin@toaks.org	
	Tom Pizza	449-2430	tpizza@toaks.org	
	Rick Raives	654-7870	rraives@ci.ventura.ca.us	
028	Tom Mericle	654-7870	tmericle@ci.ventura.ca.us	
	Ben Emami	654-2087	ben.emami@ventura.org	
065	David Fleisch	654-2077	david.fleisch@ventura.org	
036	Chris Birkelo	(805)488-3677	cbirkelo@portofhueneme.org	
078	Ben Cacatian	645-1428	ben@vcapcd.org	Ben Cacatian
	Steve Brown	483-3959 ext 116	sbrown@goldcoasttransit.org	

ATTENDANCE

[illegible]



Item #4

November 17, 2011

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR
SUBJECT: CALTRANS PROGRAM MONITORING

RECOMMENDATION:

- Receive and file report on proposed Caltrans monitoring list.

DISCUSSION:

Caltrans Local Assistance staff has proposed to create a project status listing to allow better management of the project delivery process. Robert Wong of Caltrans staff will be present at the meeting to discuss the proposed attached reporting format.

Monthly Local Program Coordination Status List

(Sample Project Entry)

Agency - Caltrans Report

Date: November 1, 2011

Agency
Apple Valley

CT Local Assistance Engineer
Morris Zarbi

Agency project manager
Steven Smith

Identification (Project Identification & Program Need)

Program Need & Project Type	Description	Location	CT Review - start / finish date	Agency Delivery - start / finish date
HBP - Rehab.	Replace Deck surface	Palmdale street	Approve Application - 7/2012	Application Exhibit A - 1/2012
SR2S - Education/sidewalk	Campaign / new side walk	Los Angeles street High School	Award List - 8/2012	Application - 3/2012
CMAQ - Interchange improve	Re-construct interchange	I-5 / Route 91	PSR - 1/2012	Planning Phase 6/2012

Programming (TIP amendment & approval)

Project ID / TIP Amend	Description	Location	CT Review - start / finish date	Agency Delivery - start / finish date
LA99999 / LA11G3/11-00	Traffic Management System	Beverly Hill Blvd		FY 11/12 \$5,000,000 Construction
LAF1111/LA11G3/11-00	Traffic Signal	Gateway City		Fy11/12 \$1 M PE, FY 12/13 \$10M Con
LA0001/LA0111/12-00	FTA - PPM	MTA		request 1/2012
LA0000/LA0000/ 12-00	FTA - Muni Bus	MTA - Grant-95-000		request 1/2012

Implementation (PE Authorization- Preliminary Engineering and Design)

Project Number	Description	Location	CT Review - start / finish date	Agency Delivery - start / finish date
SRTSL-5392(000)	Education & lighted crosswalk	Thousand Oak Middle School	CE - 3/2011 approved	PES form - 1/2011
SR2S-5392(000)	Construct new sidewalk	Thousand Oak High School	Allocation request - 4/2011	CEQA - 3/2011

Implementation (R/W Authorization - R/W Acquisition & Utility Relocation) Longer Lead Time needed for Review and Approval

Project Number	Description	Location	CT Review - start / finish date	Agency Delivery - start / finish date
STPL-5405(000)	Replace Existing Bridge	Simi Valley Blvd	Utility Items - 1/2011	R/W certification - 3/2011

Implementation (CON Authorization - Advertise and Award Construction Contract)

Project Number	Description	Location	CT Review - start / finish date	Agency Delivery - start / finish date
ESPL-5392(000)	Street Rehabilitation	Moorpark Avenue	Award Package - 3/2011	Final Expenditure Report - 12/2011
BHLS-5405(000)	Bridge Widening	Los Angeles Street	Authorization Package - 3/2011	Authorization Package - 2/2011

Issue Resolution

Issue identified	Description	Location	CT Review - start / finish date	Agency Delivery - start / finish date
Process Review	Payment Documentation	Los Angeles Street	CT requested 11/2011	Respond by 12/2011



Item #5

November 10, 2011

**MEMO TO: TRANSIT OPERATORS COMMITTEE
 TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: PROGRAMMING OF STP, CMAQ, AND TE FUNDS

RECOMMENDATION:

- Approve programming of \$1,342,340 of STP funds, \$1,998,700 of CMAQ funds, and \$977,000 of TE funds, as summarized in Attachment B, contingent on the funds being obligated by November 30, 2012.
- Approve transfer of \$354,120 of unused STP funds from the Rice/101 Interchange Improvement in Oxnard to the Hueneme Road Widening in Oxnard, to offset the Rice/101 project having obligated some Port Access Earmark funds programmed for Hueneme Road, rather than the STP funds.

BACKGROUND:

At the October meeting, VCTC approved guidelines (Attachment A) for project sponsors to request additional STP, CMAQ, and TE funds for previously-approved projects. The guidelines provided that projects could be considered for additional funding provided that they had previously been approved by VCTC for one of the fund categories for which a countywide competitive project ranking process is utilized. Additional funds were only to be requested if the funds could be obligated by June, 2012. Requests for funding were due to VCTC by October 28th. Subsequent to Commission approval of the guidelines, a notice of the funding opportunity to project sponsors.

VCTC received responses from seven agencies requesting additional funds for a total of 14 projects. Staff has deemed 11 of the 14 projects as being eligible to receive additional funds based on the approved guidelines, as summarized in Attachment B. In addition, subsequent to the October 28th deadline Caltrans informed VCTC and Oxnard of a shortfall in Ports Access earmark funds for the Hueneme Road Widening project, due to the appropriated amount being less than the authorized earmark, and also an apparent over use of the earmark funds by the Rice/101 Improvement project, while the project underutilized its programmed STP funds. As a result, the staff recommendation includes transferring the unused \$354,120 of STP funds from the Rice/101 project to the Hueneme Road project, and programming an additional \$327,240 in STP funds for the Hueneme Road Widening.

Staff also recommends that the programming of additional funds be made contingent upon their obligation by November, 2012, one year from now, given that the guidelines conditioned eligibility for the funds to their being needed by June, 2012. To clarify, the funds will be considered to be obligated by the deadline if they are removed from the Ventura County obligated balance, either by being included in an E-76

approval by FHWA, transferred to FTA, or, in the case of TE funds, allocated by the California Transportation Commission.

As always, it is important to remember that no expenditures are eligible for federal reimbursement if the project is advertised prior to receiving E-76 authorization approval from FHWA. The only exception to this policy is for transit projects where the funds are transferred to FTA, in which case there is the ability in some cases for a project to proceed under pre-award authority.

**PROPOSED 2011 GUIDELINES FOR PROGRAMMING CMAQ/STP/TE FUNDS TO
PREVIOUSLY-APPROVED PROJECTS**

(Approved by VCTC 10/7/2011)

Funding Priorities

Supplemental funding will be considered for previously-approved STP, CMAQ, TE, and Proposition 1B projects other than road rehabilitation (which were not approved with a specific scope), for projects that will be ready to obligate the funds by June 30, 2012.

Any other funding proposals, including new projects, will be considered through a subsequent Mini-Call for Projects expected to be concluded by February, 2012, with funds to be programmed in the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) and available by June 30, 2012.

Eligibility Requirements

To receive funding, the project sponsor must provide VCTC with a letter stating the original project funding, including federal and local funds, the revised project funding, and the requested additional STP, CMAQ, or TE funds. The letter should also provide an update project schedule showing start and end dates for design, right-of-way, and construction.

Funding will only be programmed where the schedule shows the funds can be obligated/allocated by the June 30, 2012 deadline.

Schedule

VCTC Approval of Programming Process: October 7
Notification of Funding Availability: October 10
Funding Request Letters Due to VCTC: October 28
TRANSCOM Approval to Program Funds: November 10
TTAC Approval to Program Funds: November 17
VCTC Approval to Program Funds: December 2
Approval of Amendment to Federal Transportation Improvement Program: April, 2012

PROPOSED ADDITIONAL PROJECT FUNDING – NOVEMBER 2011

Agency	Project	Funding Type	Original VCTC- Programmed Funds	Additional Federal Funding Recommended	Total Federal Funding
Recommended for Funding					
Camarillo	Ponderosa Drive Landscaping	TE	\$300,000	\$717,000	\$1,017,000
Ojai	Three Bus Shelters	CMAQ	\$100,000	\$50,000	\$150,000
Oxnard	East Ventura Boulevard Improvements	STP	\$2,691,310	\$1,015,100	\$3,706,410
Oxnard	Hueneme Road Widening	STP	\$1,605,480	\$327,240 ¹	\$1,932,720
Santa Paula	Bike Trail in Railroad Right-of-Way	CMAQ	\$3,626,811	\$1,110,000	\$4,736,811
Simi Valley	Garage Modernization	CMAQ	\$194,000	\$33,100	\$227,100
Simi Valley	Transit Maintenance Facility Expansion	CMAQ	\$1,431,000	\$98,600	\$1,529,600
Thousand Oaks	Municipal Service Center Expansion	Prop 1B	\$1,155,991		\$1,155,991
		CMAQ		\$560,000	\$560,000
Thousand Oaks	Transportation Center Parking Expansion	Prop 1B	\$1,250,000		\$1,250,000
		TE		\$260,000	\$260,000
Thousand Oaks	Bus Service Hours Extension	CMAQ	\$60,000	\$25,000 ²	\$85,000
Thousand Oaks	Transit Vehicle Purchase	CMAQ	\$279,000	\$50,000	\$329,000
Thousand Oaks	Bus Purchase	Prop 1B	\$571,601		\$571,601
		CMAQ	\$387,399	\$72,000	\$459,399
TOTAL RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING:		STP		\$1,342,340	
		CMAQ		\$1,998,700	
		TE		\$977,000	
		TOTAL		\$4,318,040	
Not Recommended for Funding (with explanation)					
Gold Coast Transit	Vineyard Corridor Operation	CMAQ	\$1,701,272		
	An additional \$1,334,435 was requested to implement Phase II to extend service to Oxnard College. This request is for a scope change from the original application, and can be requested in the upcoming Mini Call for Projects.				
Oxnard	Rice Avenue at Fifth Street Grade Separation Design	[None]	\$0		
	This project has not previously received STP, CMAQ, TE, or Prop 1B funds and therefore per the approved guidelines is not considered for funding. It is eligible for Port Access Earmark funds but these are no longer available. Oxnard requested \$1,770,600. These funds can be requested in the upcoming Mini Call for Projects.				
Oxnard	Transportation Center Bilingual Signs	TE	\$13,000		
	An additional \$200,000 in TE funds are requested for this project, representing a significant increase in scope from the originally-funded project. These funds can be requested in the upcoming Mini Call for Projects.				

NOTES:

¹These funds were not requested by the October 28th deadline although they satisfy the guidelines. The week following the deadline, VCTC learned of a shortfall due to less than anticipated availability of federal Ports Access earmark funds. Staff recommends programming an \$327,240 in STP funds to offset a reduced earmark appropriation. In addition, due to the Rice/101 project overobligating earmark funds and underobligating STP funds, staff recommends transferring \$354,120 in unused STP funds from Rice/101 to this project.

²Request was for \$200,000 in additional funds to provide for three years of funding, when original application was for one. Staff recommends providing \$60,000 to fully fund one year. The remaining funds can be considered in the upcoming Mini Call for Projects.



Item #6

November 17, 2011

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR

**SUBJECT: PROGRAMMING OF SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (STP),
CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY (CMAQ), AND
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT (TE) FUNDS**

RECOMMENDATION:

- Approve Attachment B guidelines for a new Mini-Call for Projects to program STP, CMAQ and TE funds anticipated to come available during Fiscal Years (FY) 2011/12 and 2012/13.
- Approve Mini-Call for Projects Schedule contained in the agenda item.
- Appoint a Task Force to discuss how to create a "shelf" of ready-to-go projects which can be funded if necessary to prevent a loss of program capacity, and to review project scoring.

BACKGROUND:

At the September meetings, TRANSCOM and TTAC approved guidelines for programming additional federal funds anticipated to become available for FY 2011/12. Due to the relatively small amount of funds anticipated to be available, the proposed process would have minimized the amount of effort by limiting consideration to existing project cost increases and specified new projects. Subsequent to these meetings, Commission staff continued to monitor the situation regarding the federal transportation authorization. Although there is still significant uncertainty regarding the configuration and size of a new federal transportation program authorization, Congress has now approved a six-month authorization extension, continuing the same program structure at the same authorized funding level. As the next presidential election nears, it appears likely that Congress will continue extending the existing programs through FY 2012/13, although it should be recognized that cuts are still possible during this period. Staff therefore believes that further consideration should be given to programming two-years' worth of funds through another Mini-Call for Projects.

To ensure that existing projects requiring additional funds are not delayed, staff brought forward to the Commission the portion of the prior committee recommendation that would allow project sponsors to submit to VCTC by October 28th requests for additional funds for previously approved projects which require the funds before June 30, 2012. Attachment A provides the guidelines which staff recommended for Commission approval to allow these projects to receive funding in advance of another Call for Projects. Staff also recommended that TTAC and TRANSCOM be directed to consider a Mini-Call for Projects to program the anticipated available FY 2011/12 and FY 2012/13 funds remaining available after funds are programmed to existing projects per the Attachment A process. TRANSCOM approved the recommended guidelines at its October meeting, but TTAC was unable to take up the issue due to the length of its agenda. This item is therefore repeated from last month's agenda, with a revised schedule. Based on a comment from Robert Wong, the TE Guidelines have been revised to account for SB 286.

The September description of the funds available to program was based on the assumption that it was only prudent to program anticipated FY 2011/12 funds. The following describes how the programming capacity is increased based on the ability to also program for FY 2012/13.

STP: A total of \$11.1 million was estimated to be available assuming the continuation of the program in FY 2011/12 at the same level as FY 2010/11, and also including an apportionment carryover. Adding another year's worth of funding at the same level will increase the programming capacity to \$19.9 million. However, as part of the 2012 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) submittal, which is on this month's TTAC agenda, staff is recommending that VCTC commit to providing approximately \$20 million of STP funds by FY 2015/16 to fund the amount of the Route 101/23 Interchange project cost that cannot be covered by the Ventura County STIP County Share balance. Staff therefore recommends that \$4 million of the \$19.9 million be set aside to begin building a reserve of STP funds to be used to match the STIP funds for Route 101/23. Should the TIGER III grant being submitted by VCTC be approved, the STP funds will no longer be required.

TE: There was \$1.3 million available to program, based on the funds estimated for one year by the California Transportation Commission. Programming two years of funds will double that amount, to \$2.6 million

CMAQ: As discussed last month, there could be a significant rescission of CMAQ, effective September 30, 2011, although the rescinded amount may not be known until several months later due to the time it will take for Caltrans to calculate the final program balances and distribute the rescission amounts. Until the Ventura County rescission amount is known, there can be no presumption of having an available programming balance for FY 2011/12. However, with FY 2012/13 funds added there will be \$7.7 million available to program. The CMAQ program capacity could potentially increase once the rescission amount is determined.

Attachment B provides the proposed Mini-Call for projects guidelines for the Committees' review and approval. Prior to last year's Mini-Call, a Task Force was established to develop new guidelines. Staff recommends at this time that there not be a Task Force to consider guidelines, but that the prior guidelines be used, with changes based on a discussion at the November 2010 Committee meetings regarding strategies to encourage project delivery. As a result, the guidelines have been changed to include Project Readiness and Prior Project Delivery as criteria. Second, staff is recommending that projects below the funding cut-line be approved as a "shelf list" which can be funded without further Committee or VCTC Board action if necessary to avoid loss of apportionment. To assist the Committee in its discussion, the changes to the prior guidelines are indicated.

At the September Commission meeting, the Commission directed that a process be developed to approve a standby list of ready-to-go projects which could quickly be funded if necessary to avoid a loss of program capacity to the county. Such a list could perhaps be developed through the Mini-Call for Projects. For example, in addition to programming the funds anticipated to be available, a limited amount of lower-scoring project could be pre-approved to receive funds should the need arise. Staff recommends that a Task Force consisting of TRANSCOM and TTAC members be established to consider such a policy, which would be incorporated into the VCTC action selecting the projects to be programmed through the Mini-Call. At a later date the Task Force will meet to recommend project scores.

The following is the proposed schedule for the 2011 Mini-Call for Projects:

VCTC Approval of Mini-Call Process: December 2, 2011

Notification of Funding Availability: November 5, 2011

Applications Due to VCTC: February 6, 2012

Task Force Approval of Projects: week of February 20th

TRANSCOM Approval of Projects: March 8th

TTAC Approval of Projects: March 15th

VCTC Approval to Projects: April 13th

Approval of Amendment to Federal Transportation Improvement Program: July, 2012

**PROPOSED 2012 GUIDELINES FOR PROGRAMMING CMAQ/STP/TE FUNDS TO
PREVIOUSLY-APPROVED PROJECTS**

(Recommended for VCTC Approval 10/7/2011)

Funding Priorities

Supplemental funding will be considered for previously-approved STP, CMAQ, TE, and Proposition 1B projects other than road rehabilitation (which were not approved with a specific scope), for projects that will be ready to obligate the funds by June 30, 2012.

Any other funding proposals, including new projects, will be considered through a subsequent Mini-Call for Projects expected to be concluded by February, 2012, with funds to be programmed in the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP) and available by June 30, 2012.

Eligibility Requirements

To receive funding, the project sponsor must provide VCTC with a letter stating the original project funding, including federal and local funds, the revised project funding, and the requested additional STP, CMAQ, or TE funds. The letter should also provide an update project schedule showing start and end dates for design, right-of-way, and construction.

Funding will only be programmed where the schedule shows the funds can be obligated/allocated by the June 30, 2012 deadline.

Schedule

VCTC Approval of Programming Process: October 7
Notification of Funding Availability: October 10
Funding Request Letters Due to VCTC: October 28
TRANSCOM Approval to Program Funds: November 10
TTAC Approval to Program Funds: November 17
VCTC Approval to Program Funds: December 2
Approval of Amendment to Federal Transportation Improvement Program: April, 2012

**REVISED CMAQ FUNDING
PROJECT SELECTION GUIDELINES
FOR VENTURA COUNTY
(FOR MINI CALL PURPOSES)**

CMAQ funds are used for projects which mitigate congestion and improve air quality. Types of eligible projects are as follows:

- Clean Fuel Bus Fleets and Support Facilities
- Improved Public Transit/Ridesharing
- Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities
- Traffic Management / Congestion Relief Strategies
- Clean Fuel Fleet Subsidy Programs
- Other Projects that meet the screening criteria

Two sets of criteria are used to evaluate projects proposed for CMAQ funding. First, **Screening Criteria** will be used to determine if a proposed project is an eligible candidate. Projects which do not satisfy all of the screening criteria will not be evaluated any further. Second, **Selection Criteria** will be used to evaluate the relative merits of each project to determine what its score/priority ranking should be.

Screening Criteria

The screening criteria are divided into three categories. Proposed projects must meet **all** of these screening criteria in order to move to the next phase of the process.

1. Project Eligibility

- A. Proposed project is eligible for CMAQ funds (see list of eligible project types on page 4-5 of these guidelines)
- B. Project applicant is a city, the County, a transit operator, or other public transportation agency, or a non-profit organization capable of funding and delivering the project, or is a private/public partnership (possibly with some private funding) subject to approval of FHWA and FTA.
- C. Proposed project mitigates measurably improves air quality.

2. Planning Consistency

- A. Project is consistent with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan (i.e. SCAG's 2008 RTP).
 - Project is specifically identified in the RTP.

- Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the RTP.
- B. Project is consistent with the most-recently adopted general plan(s).
- C. Project is consistent with the adopted District Air Quality Management Plan.
- D. Traffic flow improvement projects must be on roadways eligible for federal funding, which include projects on rural major collectors (and above) and urban collectors (and above).
- E. Transit improvement projects must be consistent with the policies and standards in the adopted Congestion Management Program.

3. Financial Feasibility

- A. Recipient of funds must have the financial capacity to complete, operate and maintain the project.
- B. Funds required from other sources (for local match) must be reasonably expected to be available.
- C. Project can be implemented within Federal delivery requirements.

Selection Criteria

There are eight selection criteria to be used to evaluate projects which have been found to meet the above screening criteria. Each of the criteria has a specific number of "points" assigned to them; these are maximums and as such 100 points represents a "perfect score" for any project.

Because a priority list of project categories has been established, it is difficult to evaluate projects across categories (i.e. how is a project to improve public transit compared to a traffic flow improvement, or a bicycle facility). Therefore, the criteria below provide a basic framework for ranking projects within each individual category. To a lesser extent, the criteria will help determine project "worthiness" and, in broad terms, the relative strength of each project.

In general, projects will be evaluated against each criteria to determine the degree to which they accomplish the stated goal or purpose.

A. Improve mobility. (0 to ~~30~~ 25 points)

- Project improves mobility Up to ~~30~~ 25 points
- Project does not provides mobility improvement 0 points

B. Improve air quality. (Based on consultation with APCD staff.) (0 to ~~30~~ 25 points)

- Significant reduction in vehicle emissions Up to ~~30~~ 25 points

- No reduction in vehicle emissions 0 points
- C. Address multi-modal or HOV needs. (10 points)**
- Project improves coordination between, and access to, more than one mode of travel 10 points
 - Project provides little or no improvement to coordination between, or access to, more than one mode of travel 0 points
- D. Funding Leverage (10 points)**
- Applicant provides at least 20% local match over the required match 10 points
 - Applicant does not provide at least 20% local match over 0 points
- E. Equitable Distribution of Funds (0 to ~~20~~ 15 points)**
- Funding the project moves a local jurisdiction closer to receiving an equitable share of funding. Up to ~~20~~ 15 points
 - Funding does not move local jurisdiction closer to receiving an equitable share of funding 0 points
- F. Project Readiness (0 to 15 points)**
- Funds can be obligated by Dec 31, 2012 15 points
 - Funds can be obligated by April 30, 2013 5 points

PROJECTS ELIGIBLE FOR CMAQ FUNDING

Congestion Management and Air Quality (CMAQ) program funds can be used to fund projects expected to result in tangible reductions in CO and ozone precursor emissions, and under certain conditions PM-10 pollution. Eligible activities include:

Transportation Control Measures: TCMs are likely to be eligible, however the air quality benefits must be determined and documented before a project can be considered eligible. Two TCMs specifically excluded by legislation from CMAQ eligibility are reduction of emissions from extreme cold-start conditions and programs to encourage removal of pre-1980 vehicles. (TCMs are listed on Attachment.)

Transportation Activities in an Approved State Implementation Plan: Transportation activities in approved SIPs are likely to be eligible activities. The activity must contribute to the specific emission reductions necessary to bring an area into attainment.

Transit Projects: In general, CMAQ eligibility is determined on the basis of whether or not the project represents an expansion or enhancement of transit service. Eligible capital projects include new stations, transit centers, and preferential bus/HOV treatment on existing roads: new park-and-ride facilities adjacent to transit stops; and major new fixed-guide way and bus/HOV facilities and extensions; new alternative-fueled transit buses, vans, locomotives and rail cars; and operating subsidies for 3-year demonstrations of new service.

Alternative Fuels: Conversion or replacement of centrally-fueled fleets to alternative fuels is eligible provided that the fleet is publicly owned or leased, and the fleet conversion is in response to a specific requirement in the Clean Air Act or is specifically identified in the State Implementation Plan.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Program: Include eligible projects are construction of bicycle and pedestrian facilities, non-construction projects related to safe bicycle use, and establishment and funding of State bicycle/pedestrian coordinator positions.

Management Systems: Projects required to develop, establish the management systems for traffic congestion, public transportation facilities and equipment, and intermodal transportation facilities and systems, as well as implementation of projects contained in them, are eligible where it can be demonstrated they are likely to contribute to attainment of air quality standards.

Traffic Management/Congestion Relief Strategies: Traffic management and congestion relief strategies for both highways and transit are eligible provided that they can be shown to improve air quality. Projects to modernize traffic signals to improve traffic flow and intelligent transportation systems are included under this category.

Telecommuting: Planning, technical and feasibility studies, training, coordination and promotion for telecommuting are eligible activities under CMAQ. Physical establishment of telecommuting centers, computer and office equipment purchases and related activities are not eligible.

Travel Demand Management: Eligible activities include: market research and planning in support of TDM implementation; capital expenses required to implement TDM measures;

operating assistance to administer and manage TDM programs; and marketing and public education efforts to support and bolster TDM measures.

Intermodal Freight: CMAQ funds may be used for improved intermodal freight facilities where air quality benefits can be shown.

Public/Private Initiatives: The CMAQ program may be used to fund projects or programs that are owned, operated or under the primary control of the public sector, including public/private joint ventures. Under TEA-21, non-profit organizations are eligible as direct recipients of CMAQ funds.

Outreach Activities: Outreach activities, such as public education on transportation and air quality, advertising of transportation alternatives to SOV travel, and technical assistance to employers or other outreach activities for an Employee Commute Option program may be funded under the CMAQ program for an indefinite period. Transit “stores” selling fare media and dispensing route and schedule information which occupy leased space are also eligible and are not subject to the 3-year limit.

Fare/Fee Subsidy Program: CMAQ funds may be used for partial user fare or fee subsidies to encourage greater use of alternative travel modes (e.g. carpool, vanpool, transit, bicycling and walking), as part of a comprehensive, targeted program to reduce SOV use. The subsidized fare/fee must be limited to any one entity or location for a period not to exceed 2 years.

Other Projects and Programs: Other transportation projects and programs, even if they are not included under one of the categories above may also be funded under CMAQ. Innovative activities based on promising technologies and feasible approaches to improve air quality will also be considered for funding. Documentation of air quality benefits must be provided.

REGIONAL STP FUNDING PROJECT SELECTION GUIDELINES FOR VENTURA COUNTY

Regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds are used for transportation capital projects of "regional" significance.

Program Goals

The goal of this regional or countywide program is to provide funds for improvement projects which benefit more than a single community and/or improve access to "regionally significant" facilities. The objectives of the program are summarized as follows:

- o Reduce congestion and improve mobility in Ventura County.
- o Support Ventura County in its efforts to attain Federal and State air quality standards.
- o Serve as an "alternative" funding source for projects beyond the capability of any one jurisdiction to fund.
- o Provide for an equitable distribution of funds across Ventura County.

Two sets of criteria are used to evaluate projects proposed for "regional" STP funding. First, **Screening Criteria** will be used to determine if a proposed project is an eligible candidate. Projects which do not satisfy all of the screening criteria will not be evaluated any further. Second, **Selection Criteria** will be used to evaluate the relative merits of each project to determine if it should be selected for funding and what its priority ranking should be.

Screening Criteria

The screening criteria are divided into three categories. Proposed projects must meet **all** of these screening criteria in order to move to the next phase of the process.

1. Project Eligibility

- A. Proposed project is eligible for STP funds (see page 7 for list of eligible projects).
- B. Project applicant is a city, the County, a transit operator, or other public transportation agency.

2. Planning Consistency

- A. Project is consistent with the adopted 2004 Regional Transportation Plan.
 - Project is specifically identified in the RTP.
 - Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the RTP.
- B. Project is consistent with the relevant adopted general plan(s).

- C. Project is consistent with the most-recently adopted Air Quality Management Plan.
 - D. Roadway improvement projects must be on roadways eligible for federal funding, which includes projects on rural major collectors (and above) and urban collectors (and above).
 - E. Transit improvement projects must be consistent with the policies and standards in the adopted Congestion Management Program.
3. Financial Feasibility
- A. Recipient of funds must have the financial capacity to complete, operate and maintain the project.
 - B. Funds required from other sources (for local match) must be reasonably expected to be available.
 - C. Project can be implemented within Federal delivery requirements.

Selection Criteria

There are nine selection criteria which are used to evaluate projects which have been found to meet the above screening criteria. Each of the criteria has a specific number of "points" assigned to them; these are maximums and as such 100 points represents a "perfect score" for any project.

Projects will be evaluated against each criterion to determine the degree to which they accomplish the stated goal or purpose. To further guide the scoring process, specific points are assigned within each criteria (e.g. Low = 5 points, Moderate = 10 points, High = 15 points). This is intended to simplify the ranking process and focus review on the substantive issues rather than finite point differentials. The ten criteria are described below.

- A. Improve existing level of service (roadway or system) through reduced delay and/or travel time. (15 points)
- B. Improve access to regional facilities such as ports, airports, universities, state & national parks, historic sites or military/government facilities. (~~15~~ 10 points)
- C. Preservations of existing facilities including overlay. (~~10~~ 5 points)
 - Project preserves, replaces or rehabilitates a transportation facility 10 5 points
 - *Does not preserve, replaces or rehabilitates a transportation facility* *0 points*
 - Project significantly benefits the residents of at least two jurisdictions in the county ~~10~~ 5 points
 - Project significantly benefits the residents of only

~~one local jurisdiction in the county~~ 0 points

D. Improve safety or security on roadways or at transit and transportation facilities. (10 points)

- Project has high impact on a safety or security problem
10 points
- Project has moderate impact on a safety or security problem
5 points
- Project has little or no impact on a safety or security problem
0 points

E. Address multi-modal or HOV needs. (5 points)

- Project improves coordination between, and access to, more than one mode of travel
5 points
- Project does not improve coordination between, or access to, more than one mode of travel
0 points

F. Funding Leverage (5 points)

- Applicant provides at least 40% local match
5 points
- Applicant does not provide at least 40% local match
0 points

G. Transportation control measure (TCM) in the latest District-approved Air Quality Management Plan. (10 points)

- Is the project on the TCM list
10 points
- The project is not on the TCM list
0 points

H. CMP Deficiency (10 points)

- The project is on the CMP deficiency list (pg. 86 of the CMP)
10 points
- Project not on the CMP deficiency list
0 point

I. Equitable Distribution of Funds. (0-~~20~~ 15 points)

- Funding the project moves a local jurisdiction closer to receiving an equitable share of funding
Up to ~~20~~ 15 points
- Funding the project does not move a local jurisdiction closer to receiving an equitable share of funding
0 points

J. *Project Readiness (0 to 15 points)*

- *Funds can be obligated by Dec 31, 2012* *15 points*
- *Funds can be obligated by April 30, 2013* *5 points*

K. *Prior Delivery Record (negative points)*

- *VCTC will consider subtracting up to five points from an agency's scores for each 2010 Mini-Call STP Project for which construction funds were to be obligated by July 1, 2011 per the project application, but were not as of September 30th. (See Draft List on page 4 of Technical Appendix.) Agencies having such projects should include in their Application Package a letter describing the project's current schedule and explaining why the project is behind schedule. The reduction of points for an agency's project will be based on the legitimacy of the rationale for the project delay being beyond the project sponsor's control.*

NOTE: "Obligated" means the funds are no longer shown in the unobligated apportionment balance, due to FHWA either providing E-76 approval or transferring funds to FTA for a transit project.

PROJECTS ELIGIBLE FOR STP FUNDING

- Construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational improvements for highways, bridges, includes construction to accommodate other modes, seismic retrofit and painting of bridges, environmental mitigation of transportation projects.
- Capital costs for transit projects eligible under the Federal Transit Act and publicly owned intracity or intercity bus terminals and facilities.
- Carpool projects, fringe and corridor parking facilities and programs, bicycle and pedestrian projects.
- Highway and transit safety improvements and programs, hazard eliminations, wildlife hazard mitigation, railway/highway grade crossings.
- Highway and transit research and technology transfer programs.
- Capital and operation costs for traffic monitoring management, and control facilities and programs.
- Surface transportation planning activities/Transportation enhancement activities.
- Transportation control measures identified in the Federal Clean Air Act:
 - Improved public transit
 - Traffic flow/A.Q. improvements
 - Ridesharing services/programs
 - Idling control programs
 - Indirect Source Control programs.
 - HOV facilities
 - HOV parking fac.
 - Bikeways/Walkways
 - Flexible work schedules
 - Employer-based incentives
 - Vehicle use restriction prg
 - Bike storage facilities
- Development and establishment of management systems (pavement, bridges, safety, congestion, public transportation facilities and equipment, and intermodal transportation facilities and equipment).
- Transportation project wetlands mitigation.

TECHNICAL APPENDIX CMAQ & REGIONAL STP PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

The following information is intended to provide a more detailed description of the Selection Criteria for Regional STP and CMAQ projects. Provided for each criteria is either a brief discussion of the specific factors to be considered, or a technical description of how a given criteria is to be measured. Not all criteria for the CMAQ and Regional STP programs are included here. The intent is to provide technical background to guide the applicants as well as the TTAC when it scores of projects.

A. Level of Service Measurement - The key factors to be considered in determining the degree of improvement in LOS are the initial LOS, the amount of improvement expected from the project, and the volume of traffic on the roadway. The following tables provide a guide for the assignment of points to a proposed project (**all values shown are the amount of improvement in the volume-to-capacity ratio**):

B. . Air Quality Improvement - Rating in this criteria will be based on consultation with APCD staff. Scoring will be based on the following factors: 1) the project is a transportation control measure, 2) the project reduces vehicle miles traveled, 3) the project reduces of vehicle starts, 3) the project reduces vehicle emissions, and 4) the project supports implementation of a transportation control measure.

C. Preservation of Existing Facility Scoring in this criteria if the project is preserving, rehabilitating, or replacing an existing transportation facility including pavement of existing roadway.

D. Safety and/or Security Benefit - The two factors to be considered are 1) the anticipated degree of improvement, and 2) the documented significance of the problem.

E. . Multi-Modal or HOV Needs - Scoring in this criteria is based on two primary factors: 1) Reduction in single occupant vehicle trips; and 2) improvement in coordination among different modes.

F. Funding Leverage If the agency is providing a 40% match the project will receive the maximum score for this category.

G. TCM Implementation – The Attachment lists the Transportation Control Measures (TCM) in the latest EPA-approved Air Quality Management Plan.

H. CMP Deficiency this criteria is made to help a project that is shown in the VCTC CMP report as deficient and not meeting the level of service requirement per the CMP guideline.

I. Equitable Distribution - Points under this category will be assigned to projects only after it is determined that the points would help bring a local agency closer to receiving an equitable share of Federal funding. Fair share of funds will be based on each agency's share of Local Surface Transportation Program funds. Projects will first be scored using criteria items A through G for CMAQ (total of 80 points possible) and items A through I for STP (total of 85 points possible). Staff would then apply 15 points to projects if the points help move a local agency closer to receiving an equitable share of funding.

**Transportation Control Measures
(1995 Air Quality Management Plan Revision)**

Ridesharing Strategies

- Carpooling, Van Pooling, BusPooling
- Modified Work Schedules
- Park and Ride Lots

Non-motorized Strategies

- Modified Work Schedules
 - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities
- TDM Facilities Ordinance**

Traffic Flow Improvement Strategy

**Regional Transportation Improvement
Program; CMP Deficiency Plans**

Land Use Strategy

**TDM Facilities Ordinance,
CMP Lane Use Impact Program**

Transit Strategies

- Public Transit Programs
 - Transit/Land Use Planning
 - Passenger Rail
- Maintain existing levels of service
TDM Facilities Ordinance
Maintain Montalvo to L.A. Service**

DRAFT LIST OF 2010 MINI-CALL STP/CMAQ PROJECTS
LATE AT OBLIGATING CONSTRUCTION FUNDS PER GUIDELINES

Hueneme Road Widening, Oxnard

Street Rehabilitation, Simi Valley

TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES (TE) PROGRAM

PROJECT SCORING GUIDELINES

SCORING CRITERIA

Each project nomination can receive a maximum of 100 points; up to 60 points in general scoring and up to 40 points in activity-specific scoring. In the general scoring process, all applications are scored by the same point system. For the specific-activity scoring, the transportation enhancement activity categories are grouped into four divisions of commonality, then a proposal is scored within the applicable division.

GENERAL MERIT – These are the scoring values for the general merit criteria, and the possible points in each area:

Regional and Community Enhancement	50 points
Cost Effectiveness/Reasonable Cost	<u>10 points</u>
Total Possible General Score	60 points

CONSERVATION CORPS INVOLVEMENT – Per SB 286, priority will be given to projects with participation from the California Conservation Corps or a local conservation corps.

SPECIFIC ACTIVITY – These are the activity-specific divisions and the possible points in each area. A project can score in only **one** of the specific divisions.

1.	Bicycle, Pedestrian, Abandoned Rail Right-of-Way	40 points
2.	Historic/Archeological/Museum	40 points
3.	Transportation Aesthetics/Scenic/Tourist	40 points
4.	Highway Runoff/Wildlife Crossings	<u>40 points</u>
	Total Possible Specific Score	40 points

EVALUATION PROCESS – Each application will be evaluated on the following general merit criteria:

1. Regional and Community Enhancement (50 points)

The project score in this area is derived from the project's primary effects – its intent and purpose – on the following elements.

- a). Benefit to quality-of-life, community, environment. Examples might include provision of safe, aesthetic pedestrian facility at a rail station, removal of billboards on a scenic highway, provision for wildlife corridors or migration areas.

0-10 points

- b). Increases access to activity centers, such as businesses, school, recreational areas and shopping areas. Connects transportation modes, has multi-modal aspects. Reinforces,

complements the regional transportation system, fills deficiency in the system.

0-8 points

- c). Implements goals in the regional transportation plan, or other adopted federal, state, or local plans. Examples might include water quality plans or elements of general plans.

0-8 points

- d). Increases availability, awareness, or protection of historic, community, visual, or natural resources.

0-8 points

- e). Degree of regional or community support. For example, letters of support from local interest groups and public bodies, additional match.

0-8 points

- f). Encompasses more than one of the four activity-specific divisions.

0-8 points

2. Cost Effectiveness/Reasonable Cost (10 points)

The project score in this area is a function of improved performance or productivity of the project as it relates to the annualized total project cost. Where the project does not lend itself to this type of analysis, the reasonableness of the cost should be established. For example, a bicycle route that takes a shorter path may be considered more cost-effective than one that connects the same activity centers in a round about way.

Highly cost-effective	10 points
Reasonable cost or moderately cost-effective	6 points
Low cost-effectiveness	2 points
Not cost-effective/Not applicable	0 points

3. Activity-Specific Enhancement Divisions (40 points)

The Activity-Specific Enhancement Divisions are groupings of the activity categories into 4 divisions with similar characteristics. All TE-eligible projects may compete for funding. The projects may score 0-40 points. **A PROPOSAL CAN SCORE IN ONLY ONE CATEGORY.**

**APPLICATION FOR FUNDS
REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (RSTP)
CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY (CMAQ)**

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Project Title:

Lead Agency:

Contact Person:

Title:

Address:

Phone: _____ FAX: _____

Project Description:

(Describe the project's purpose, location, length, limits of work, size, etc. Attach vicinity/site maps and plans, if bike path indicate length)

Project Federal Funding:

Federal Funds Requested: \$ _____

Phase(s): _____

Match: \$ _____ Source(s): _____

Total Project Cost \$ _____

Lead Agency:_____

Project Title:_____

II. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Schedule for Obligating Funds (enter month and year):

Prelim. Eng. _____

Right-of-Way _____

Construction _____

Local Funding Share Detail:

	Federal Funds	Local Match	Total Cost
--	---------------	-------------	------------

Prelim. Eng.	\$_____	\$_____	\$_____
--------------	---------	---------	---------

Right-of-Way	\$_____	\$_____	\$_____
--------------	---------	---------	---------

Construction	\$_____	\$_____	\$_____
--------------	---------	---------	---------

TOTAL	\$_____	\$_____	\$_____
-------	---------	---------	---------

III. ENVIRONMENTAL/SCHEDULE INFORMATION

Environmental/Schedule Information:

Federal environmental clearance category (CE, EA, or EIS):_____

Federal Environmental Process completion date: _____

Engineering	ROW	Construction
-------------	-----	--------------

Start Date: _____	_____	_____
-------------------	-------	-------

End Date: _____	_____	_____
-----------------	-------	-------

Final Completion Date:_____

Lead Agency:_____ Project Title:_____

IV. PROJECT SCREENING INFORMATION

Is the proposed project eligible for the following funds (check all that apply):

STP____ CMAQ____

Is the proposed project consistent with the area's adopted general plan?

YES ____ NO ____

Road Projects: Is the project an "urban collector" or above or a "rural major collector" or above?

YES ____ NO ____ N/A ____

Who will have the responsibility for completing, operating and maintaining the project? (If not applicant, please explain.)

V. PROJECT SCORING INFORMATION

Mobility Improvement:

Will the project improve a road's level of service or speed? If yes,

Project ADT: _____, Current LOS: _____

LOS with Project: _____

Will the project improve the level of service of a transit system? If yes, explain:

Will the project improve the level of service of the bikeway/pedestrian system?

If yes, explain:

Lead Agency: _____

Project Title: _____

Air Quality Improvement:

Will the project improve air quality, and if so, how? For example, will the project reduce pollutant emissions, single occupancy vehicle usage, reduce vehicle miles of travel, provide clean burning vehicles, improve traffic flow, etc? If yes, explain:

Multi-Modal/HOV Needs:

Will the project improve the coordination among different modes of travel? If yes, explain:

Funding Leverage

Does the applicant provide at least 40% local match?

YES _____ NO _____

Preservation of Existing Facility

Does the project preserve, replace or rehab existing transportation facility

YES _____ NO _____

Improve Safety

Does the project improve safety or security on a roadway or transportation facility

YES _____ NO _____

**APPLICATION FOR FUNDS
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT (TE) FUNDS**

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Project Title: _____

Lead Agency: _____

Contact Person:

Title: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____ FAX: _____

Project Description:

(Describe the project's purpose, location, length, limits of work, size, etc. Attach vicinity/site maps and plans)

Does this project partner with or commit to employ the services of the California Conservation Corps or a community conservation corps? Yes ____ No ____

If no, has the California Conservation Corps and the Association of Local Conservation Corps indicated they are not interested in participating in the project? Yes ____ No ____

Project Federal Funding:

Federal Funds Requested: \$ _____

Phase(s): _____

Match: \$ _____ Source(s): _____

Total TE Project Cost \$ _____

Is TE Project Part of a Larger Project? Yes ____ No ____

If Yes, Total Cost of Larger Project, Including TE Project \$ _____

Lead Agency:_____ Project Title:_____

WHICH CATEGORY OR CATEGORIES ENCOMPASS THE ENHANCEMENT?

(May be more than one.)

List approximate amount of federal TE funds to be spent in each of the TE categories:

\$_____ 1. Pedestrian or bike facilities \$_____ 6. Historic
transportation rehabilitation

\$_____ 2. Acquisition of sites \$_____ 7. Rails to
trails

\$_____ 3. Historic highway programs \$_____ 8. Outdoor
advertising removal

\$_____ 4. Landscaping/scenic beautification \$_____ 9.
Archaeology planning/research

\$_____ 5. Historic preservation \$_____ 10. Runoff water
pollution control

Activities outside the categories: List approximate amount of federal TEA funds to be spent
in activities outside the ten categories
(must be necessary and incidental to the portion inside the categories):

\$_____

Describe:

II. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Project Component Costs:

Preliminary Engineering Phase:

• Construction Documents	\$_____	
• Environmental Documents	\$_____	
TOTAL PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING		\$_____

Right Of Way Phase (Acquisition):

• Capital	\$_____	
• Support costs	\$_____	
TOTAL RIGHT OF WAY		\$_____

Construction Phase:

• Construction contract items	\$_____*	
• Contingencies	\$_____	
• Construction engineering	\$_____	
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION		\$_____

Lead Agency:_____ Project Title:_____

Schedule for Obligating Funds (enter month and year):

Prelim. Eng. _____

Right-of-Way _____

Construction _____

Schedule for Obligating Funds (enter month and year):

Prelim. Eng. _____

Right-of-Way _____

Construction _____

TE Application
Page Four

Lead Agency:_____ Project Title:_____

ITEM ESTIMATE - CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT ITEMS

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Price
	<u>Amount</u>			

Lead Agency:_____ Project Title:_____

III. ENVIRONMENTAL/SCHEDULE INFORMATION

Environmental/Schedule Information:

Federal environmental clearance category (CE, EA, or EIS):_____

Federal Environmental Process completion date: _____

	Engineering	ROW
Construction		
Start Date:	_____	_____
End Date:	_____	_____
Final Completion Date:	_____	

IV. PROJECT SCORING INFORMATION

Regional & Community Enhancements

Will the project improve the access to a regional facility(ies)? If yes, identify the regional facility(ies) and the access improvements:

Multi-Modal/HOV Needs:

Will the project improve the coordination among different modes of travel? If yes, explain:

CEO CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the applications included in this submittal package represent this agency's complete proposal for projects recommended for funding at this time. Should the projects be approved for funding by the Ventura County Transportation Commission, this agency will commit the local match as specified in the applications, and will make a priority of meeting the stated project delivery deadlines.

This agency is willing and able to maintain and operate the projects contained in the applications, and hereby assures that it will do so, with the proviso that the agency is permitted to transfer this responsibility to another qualified agency that is willing to do so.

Signature

Printed Name

Date

Title*

Agency

*Must be signed by City Manager, County Executive Officer, County Transportation Agency Director, or other organizational CEO.



Item #7

November 17, 2011

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR
SUBJECT: STATUS OF CALTRANS VENTURA COUNTY SATELLITE OFFICE

RECOMMENDATION:

- Discuss status of Caltrans proposal to close its Ventura County Satellite Office.

DISCUSSION:

At last month's Committee meeting, TTAC discussed with Ali Zaghari of Caltrans staff the proposed closure of the District 7 Satellite Office in Ventura. This issue has been placed on the agenda again this month to allow Committee members to provide any additional information they may have, and for any further discussion.



Item #8

November 17, 2011

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR
SUBJECT: STATUS OF FEDERAL STP, CMAQ, AND TE FUNDS

RECOMMENDATION:

- Review project status.

DISCUSSION:

Under federal law, STP, CMAQ, and TE funds apportioned to California lapse if they are not used within three years. AB 1012, which became law in October, 1999, applies the three-year lapsing rule for CMAQ and STP funds to each county. Furthermore, since, under California Transportation Commission (CTC) policy, TE funds are programmed based on County shares through the STIP, there are STIP timely-use requirements that apply to these funds. VCTC also uses the project schedule to ensure that the financially-constrained programming for the current year in the TIP includes all of the projects which are ready-to-go. Additionally, this schedule is provided to Caltrans for use in managing statewide obligational authority.

The Attachment A table shows the amount of funds which are scheduled to lapse during the current fiscal year ending September 30, 2012. Attachment B provides the projects anticipated to be obligated during the fiscal year, and indicates that there are sufficient projects to utilize all of the funds scheduled to lapse. However, should a small number of projects on the list not be delivered this year, there could be a lapse of funds. Furthermore, as the Committee is aware in recent years there has been considerable uncertainty regarding federal rescissions of unobligated apportionment balances. At present the Attachment B schedule does not include the additional funding programmed in Item #5 of this agenda, which should increase the amount of funds to be obligated this year.

The Committee will note that the tables provide the status as of September 15, 2011, prior to the fiscal year close. There is still no word from Caltrans regarding distribution of the CMAQ rescission that was to occur on September 30th, nor the CMAQ apportionment loan to San Diego County which was contingent upon San Diego County over-obligating its apportionment.

This item also includes the tables showing the status of all incomplete STP, CMAQ, and TE projects. These tables were e-mailed to TTAC several weeks ago and the responses as of this writing have been incorporated. Staff requests that the remaining agencies provide their status updates at the TTAC meeting.

ATTACHMENT A

BREAKDOWN OF UNOBLIGATED VENTURA COUNTY FEDERAL FUNDS As of 9/15/2011		
CMAQ		
FY 2008/09	\$0	Lapses October 1, 2011
FY 2009/10	\$2,130,518	Lapses October 1, 2012
FY 2010/11	\$9,687,247	Lapses October 1, 2013
TOTAL	\$11,817,765	
STP		
FY 2008/09	\$0	Lapses October 1, 2011
FY 2009/10	\$5,712,478	Lapses October 1, 2012
FY 2010/11	\$10,495,561	Lapses October 1, 2013
TOTAL	\$16,208,039	
TE		
FY 2011/12	\$681,000	Lapses July 1, 2012
TOTAL	\$0	

g:excel/cmaqstptealapse

Ventura County
Upcoming STP / CMAQ / TE Projects
Federal Fiscal Year 2011/12 (10/1/11 - 9/30/12)

ATTACHMENT B

PROJECT TITLE	Lead Agency	Date to be Obligated	Amount to be Obligated
STP			
<u>Unobligated Balance</u>			\$16,208,039
Street Rehabilitation	Simi Valley	Nov-11	\$697,000
Victoria Avenue Improvements PE	Oxnard	Nov-11	\$58,873
California Street / Route 101 Offramp PE	S.B. Ventura	Nov-11	\$750,000
Street Overlay PE	Fillmore	Nov-11	\$26,559
Major Street Rehabilitation	Simi Valley	Nov-11	\$697,000
Hueneme Road Widening	Oxnard	Dec-11	\$1,605,480
Ventura Boulevard Improvements Con	Oxnard	Jun-12	\$2,512,597
Victoria Avenue Improvements Con	Oxnard	Jun-12	\$914,957
Street Overlay CON	Fillmore	Aug-12	\$240,851

Total to be Obligated by 10/1/12	\$	7,503,317
Required to be Obligated by 10/1/12	\$	5,712,478

CMAQ

<u>Unobligated Balance</u>			\$11,817,765
Sheridan Way Bike Path PE	Ventura	Nov-11	\$50,000
Hwy 126 Bike Path Ph 3 PE / ROW	Ventura	Nov-11	\$160,000
Oxnard Boulevard Bike Path	Oxnard	Nov-11	\$269,100
Route 101 at California Street Improvements	Ventura	Nov-11	\$1,125,000
Lynn Road Bike Lanes	T.O.	Dec-11	\$655,000
Replacement CNG Vehicles	T.O.	Dec-11	\$279,000
Calleguas Creek Bike Path Ph 3	Camarillo	Dec-11	\$360,000
Pole Creek Bikepath PE	Fillmore	Dec-11	\$73,421
Calleguas Creek Bike Path Ph 4 PE	Camarillo	Dec-11	\$54,000
Transit Garage Modernization	Simi Valley	Dec-11	\$307,090
Moorpark Station New South Entrance ROW	Moorpark	Dec-11	\$582,245
Lomita Avenue Bike Lanes	County	Jun-12	\$238,000
Santa Clara Avenue Bike Lanes Con	County	Jun-12	\$910,000
Sheridan Way Bike Path Con	Ventura	Jul-12	\$200,000
Calleguas Creek Bike Path Ph 4 Con	Camarillo	Jul-12	\$300,000
Regional Ridesharing	VCTC	Aug-12	\$443,000

Total to be Obligated by 10/1/12	\$	6,005,856
Required to be Obligated by 10/1/12	\$	2,130,518

TE

<u>Unallocated Balance</u>			\$681,000
Piru/Camulos Bike Path	County	Jan-11	\$479,000
10th Street Enhancements PE	Santa Paula	Aug-11	\$52,000
Thousand Oaks Transit Center Landscaping	T.O.	Aug-11	\$150,000

Total to be Allocated by 7/1/12	\$681,000
Total Required to be Allocated by 7/1/12	\$681,000

Approved TEA-21 CMAQ Program - Project Obligations as of 10/1/11

		Prog.	TIP	CMAQ	Obligated			Project	Project milestone	Project
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount*	Phase	Balance	&delivery date	Status
Calleguas Creek Bike Path	Camarillo	2001	07-VEN991225	\$ 400,000	3/9/2004	\$ 400,000	CON	\$ -		Completed
Pleasant Valley Road Bikelane	Camarillo	2003	07-VEN990305	\$ 600,000	7/17/2004	\$ 600,000	PE	\$ -		Completed
							PSE			
							R/W			
							CON			
Rancho Camulos RR & Bike Path	County	2001	07-VEN990310	\$ 265,000	8/29/2001	\$ 265,000	PE/ROW	\$ -	Construction expected to begin July/2011	Underway
Rancho Camulos RR & Bike Path	County	2002	07-VEN990310	\$ 2,735,000		\$ 2,062,461	CON	\$ 672,539	Construction expected to begin July/2011	Underway
							PE			
							PSE			
							R/W			
							CON			
Intermodal Center Revision	Fillmore	2000	07-VEN54167	\$ 255,000	9/28/2005	\$ 255,000	All	\$ -		
							PE			
							PSE			
							R/W			
							CON			
Main Street Intermodal Center	Fillmore	2000	07-VEN54167	\$ 213,000	7/30/2002	\$ 213,000	CON	\$ -		
Moorpark Pedestrian Improvements	Moorpark	2003	07-VEN54172	\$ 530,000	11/19/2004	\$ 544,000	CON	\$ (14,000)		Completed
Rte 118 Signal Synchronization	Moorpark	1999	07-VEN54170	\$ 90,000	10/21/2003	\$ 74,371	CON	\$ 15,629		Completed
Signal Interconnect Tierra Rejada	Moorpark	2001	07-VEN990315	\$ 350,000	8/22/2003	\$ 350,000	All	\$ -		Completed
Bike/Pedestrian/Equestrian Trail							CON			
	Ojai	2004	07-VEN54164	\$ 130,100	7/17/2004	\$ 17,706		\$ 112,394		

Approved TEA-21 Program - Project Obligations as of 10/1/11 -

Project Name	Applicant	Prog. Year	TIP Number	CMAQ Funding	Obligated			Project Balance	Project milestone & delivery date	Project Status
					Date	Amount*	Phase			
Bike/Ped Oxnard & 5th	Oxnard	2002	07-VEN990317	\$ 960,000	9/12/2001	\$ 960,000	All	\$ -		
							PE			
							PSE			
							R/W			
							CON			
Signal Synchron Citywide	Oxnard	2001	07-VEN000609	\$ 190,000	6/13/2001	\$ 89,689	CON	\$ 100,311		
Calif. Street Bridge Upgrade	S.B. Ventura	2004	07-VEN990319	\$ 329,000			CON	\$ 329,000		Beyond TEA-21
SR 126 Bike Path	S.B. Ventura	2003	07-VEN990320	\$ 632,500	7/1/2011	\$ 632,500	CON	\$ -		Ready to adv
Bike Trail Along ROW	Santa Paula	2004	07-VEN54168	\$ 970,000	8/10/2001	\$ 970,000	All	\$ -		Underway
							PE			
							PSE			
							R/W			
							CON			
Traffic Signal Coordination Exp.	T.O.	2001	07-VEN990331	\$ 30,000	8/28/2000	\$ 30,000	PE	\$ -	Construction completion 8/11	Awarded 1/25/11
	T.O.	2002	07-VEN990331	\$ 120,000	10/28/2003	\$ 120,000	All	\$ -	Construction completion 8/11	Awarded 1/25/11
	T.O.	2002	07-VEN990332	\$ 240,000	11/7/2003	\$ 203,333	All	\$ 36,667	Construction completion 8/11	Awarded 1/25/11
Santa Paula Recreational Trail	Santa Paula	2004	07-VEN54168	\$ 800,000	1/26/2010	\$ 800,000	CON	\$ -		
Totals				\$ 9,839,600		\$ 8,587,060		\$ 1,252,540		

Approved SAFTEA-LU CMAO Program - Project Obligations as of 10/1/11 -

Project Name	Applicant	Prog. Year	TIP Number	CMAQ Funding	Obligated			Project Balance	Project milestone & delivery date	Project Status
					Date	Amount*	Phase			
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph II (Adolfo to South side of US-101)	Camarillo County	2007	07-VEN050403	\$ 1,758,306	5/9/2006	\$ 1,758,306	All	\$ -		
Central Avenue Bike Lanes	County	2006	07-VEN54124	\$ 353,235			ROW/Co	\$ 353,235	Completed	Completed
Central Avenue Bike Lanes Ph II	County	2007	07-VEN54123	\$ 300,000			All	\$ 300,000	Completed	Completed
Cruzero Street Sidewalk	County	2005	07-VEN031221	\$ 99,192	2/3/2007	\$ 73,078	CON	\$ 26,114	Completed	Completed
Lewis Road Bikelanes 101/Huen	County	2005	07-VEN54122	\$ 331,000	8/1/2005	\$ 331,972	PE	\$ (972)	Complete by 4/11	Completed
Lewis Road Bikelanes 101/Huen	County	2005	07-VEN54122	\$ 350,000	8/1/2005	\$ 350,000	ROW	\$ -	Complete by 4/11	Completed
Santa Clara Avenue Bike Lanes	County	2006	07-VEN031220	\$ 841,035	3/6/2008	\$ 840,858	All	\$ 177	Completed	Completed
Pole Creek Undercrossing	Fillmore	2009	07-VEN051401	\$ 664,906			All	\$ 664,906	Delayed to '10	
Interconnect Signal Cable	Oxnard	2007	07-VEN053401	\$ 398,385	8/23/2007	\$ 335,559	PE/Con	\$ 62,826		
OTC E. 5th St Parking Lot	Oxnard	2006	07-VEN990903	\$ 677,000	4/11/2008	\$ 615,115	Con	\$ 61,885	Completed	Completed
Oxnard Blvd Bike/Ped Path	Oxnard	2006	07-VEN990317	\$ 2,446,530			All	\$ 2,446,530		
California Street Improvements	S.B. Ventura	2006	07-VEN990319	\$ 796,000			CON	\$ 796,000		
Bicycle Trail Construction	Santa Paula	2007	07-VEN54168	\$ 1,856,811	1/26/2010	\$ 1,856,211	All	\$ 600		
Green Alley Paseo / Parking Lot	Santa Paula	2005	07-VEN031215	\$ 244,619	12/20/2005	\$ 244,619	CON	\$ -		
Arroyo Simi Bike Path	Simi Valley	2006	07-VEN031205	\$ 178,000	8/11/2011	\$ 178,000	CON	\$ -	Jul-12	
W.L.A. Ave Widening for Bike Lanes	Simi Valley	2009	07-VEN051201	\$ 1,814,000	7/11/2011	\$ 1,814,000	CON	\$ -	Dec-11	
Conejo Creek Bike Path	T.O.	2007	07-VEN054605	\$ 150,000	2/13/2008	\$ 150,000	Con	\$ -	Project completion 3/11	Completed 6/11

Approved SAFETEA-LU CMAQ Program - Project Obligations as of 10/1/11

Project Name	Applicant	Prog. Year	TIP Number	CMAQ Funding	Obligated			Project Balance	Project milestone & delivery date	Project Status
					Date	Amount*	Phase			
Hillcrest Drive Bike Path	T.O.	2008	07-VEN056407	\$ 250,000			PE/Con	\$ 250,000	Construction award 12/11	Design underway
Kaiser Woodland Hills Demo Shuttle	T.O.	2005	07-VEN056411	\$ 187,301	8/23/2005	\$ 187,301	CON	\$ -	Completed	Completed
Lynn Road Bike Lanes	T.O.	2007	07-VEN090503	\$ 655,000			CON	\$ 655,000	Construction award 12/11	Design underway
Lynn Road Traffic Signal Coordination	T.O.	2006	07-VEN056403	\$ 400,000	2/29/2008	\$ 393,242	CON	\$ 6,758	Construction completion 8/11	Construction awarded 1/25/11
Bike Map Update	VCTC	2009	07-VEN54151	\$ 55,000	6/18/2009	\$ 55,000		\$ -		
Camarillo Station Improvements	VCTC	2005	07-VEN990303	\$ 3,100,000	2/21/2004	\$ 3,100,000	CON	\$ -		
Guaranteed Ride Home Program	VCTC	2004	07-VEN54151	\$ 40,000	10/28/2003	\$ 40,000	CON	\$ -		
La Conchita Ped UC Design	VCTC	2005	07-VEN991101	\$ 382,000	4/1/2005	\$ 350,000	PE	\$ 32,000	Incorporated Into Hwy 101 HOV lane project	
Lewis Road Bikelanes 101/Huen	VCTC	2005	07-VEN040501	\$ 7,764,000	8/2/2005	\$ 7,764,000	CON	\$ -		
Regional Ridesharing	VCTC	2010	07-VEN54151	\$ 443,000	6/18/2009	\$ 443,000	CON	\$ -		Completed
Regional Ridesharing	VCTC	2011	07-VEN54151	\$ 443,000				\$ 443,000	obligated	
Totals				\$ 26,978,320		\$ 20,880,261		\$ 5,655,059		

Approved CMAQ Mini-Call Program - Project Obligations as of 10/1/2011

Project Name	Applicant	Prog. Year	TIP Number	CMAQ Funding	Obligated			Project Balance	Project milestone	Project
					Date	Amount*	Phase		&delivery date	Status
Calleguas Cr Bike Ph II Toll Credit	Camarillo	2011	07-VEN050403	\$ 191,225	7/13/2011	\$189,423	Con	\$ 1,802		
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph III	Camarillo	Dec-11	07-VEN110105	\$ 360,000			Con	\$ 360,000		
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph IV	Camarillo	Mar-11	07-VEN110106	\$ 54,000			PE	\$ 54,000		
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph IV	Camarillo	Jul-12	07-VEN110106	\$ 300,000			Con	\$ 300,000		
Pole Creek Bike Path Toll Credit	Fillmore	2011	07-VEN051401	\$ 77,724			Con	\$ 77,724		
Moorpark Metrolink Station Entrance	Moorpark	Jul-11	07-VEN031218	\$ 449,450			ROW	\$ 449,450		Caltrans review.
Hwy 126 Harmon Barranca Bike Path	S.B.Ventura	Jul-11	07-VEN031229	\$ 1,215,553	7/1/2011	\$ 1,215,553	Con	\$ -		Ready to adv
Hwy 126 Harmon Toll Credit	S.B.Ventura	2011	07-VEN031229	\$ 81,947	7/1/2011	\$ 81,947	Con	\$ -		Ready to adv
Calif Street Impr Toll Credit	S.B.Ventura	2011	07-VEN990319	\$ 145,756			Con	\$ 145,756		
Hwy 126 Bike Path Gap Closure	S.B.Ventura	Jul-11	07-VEN031230	\$ 100,000			PE	\$ 100,000		
Hwy 126 Bike Path Gap Closure	S.B.Ventura	Jul-13	07-VEN031230	\$ 60,000			ROW	\$ 60,000		
Hwy 126 Bike Path Gap Closure	S.B.Ventura	Jul-14	07-VEN031230	\$ 840,000			Con	\$ 840,000		
Sheridan Wy/Ventura River Bike Trail	S.B.Ventura	Jul-12	07-VEN110304	\$ 50,000			PE	\$ 50,000		
Sheridan Wy/Ventura River Bike Trail	S.B.Ventura	Jul-13	07-VEN110304	\$ 200,000			ROW	\$ 200,000		
West L.A. Bike Lane Toll Credit	Simi Valley	2011	07-VEN051201	\$ 172,056	7/11/2011	\$ 172,056	Con	\$ -	Dec-12	
Arroyo Simi Bike Path Toll Credit	Simi Valley	2011	07-VEN031205	\$ 22,932	8/11/2011	\$ 22,932	Con	\$ -	Jul-12	
Regional Ridesharing / GRH	VCTC	2011	07-VEN93017	\$ 443,000	7/13/2011	\$ 443,000		\$ -		

Approved CMAQ Mini-Call Program - Project Obligations as of 10/1/2011

Project Name	Applicant	Prog. Year	TIP Number	CMAQ Funding	Obligated			Project Balance	Project milestone	Project
					Date	Amount*	Phase		&delivery date	Status
Ojai Bike Trail Bridge	Vta County	2012	07-VEN110104	\$ 190,000	8/15/2011	\$ 190,000	Con	\$ -		
Santa Clara Bike Lanes Central/118	Vta County	Dec-11	07-VEN110303	\$ 60,000	6/28/2011	\$ 60,000	PE	\$ -		
Santa Clara Bike Lanes Central/118	Vta County	2013	07-VEN110303	\$ 910,000			Con	\$ 910,000		
Lomita Bike Routes	Vta County	Dec-11	07-VEN110306	\$ 26,000			PE	\$ 26,000		
Lomita Bike Routes	Vta County	Jun-12	07-VEN110306	\$ 212,000			Con	\$ 212,000		
TOTAL				\$ 6,161,643		\$ 2,374,911		\$ 3,786,732		

*Obligated amount = Funds approved by FHWA on Authorization to Proceed (FNM-76) form, or approved by FTA in grant application.

**Approved TEA-21 STP Program
Obligated Projects as of 10/1/11**

		Prog.	TIP			Obligated		Project	Project milestone	Project
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount**	Phase	Balance	&delivery date	Status
Regional STP										
Pleasant Valley Rd Widening	Camarillo	2003	07-VEN991218	\$422,555	7/17/2004	\$423,000	All	(\$445)		Completed
							PE			
							PSE			
							R/W			
							CON			
Ojai Trail Extension / Fulton Ext	Ojai	2002	07-VEN010203	\$439,000	9/15/1998	\$379,915	Con	\$59,085		
Total - Regional STP				\$861,555		\$802,915		\$59,085		
LOCAL STP										
Resurfacing at various locations****	Oxnard	99-05	07-VEN54039	\$3,443,776	3/15/2001	\$3,443,776	CON	\$0		
Overlays/rehab @ var loc.	Santa Paula	99-05	07-VEN54032	\$730,062	9/7/2002	\$730,062	CON	\$0		
Resurfacing at various locations	Simi Valley	99-05	07-VEN54032	\$2,603,584	8/8/2000	\$2,603,584	CON	\$0		Completed
Arterial Pavement Overlay	T.O.	99-05	07-VEN54032	\$2,595,877	2/16/2001	\$2,595,877	CON	\$0	7/29/2010 E76 approval	Completed
Total - STP Local				\$9,373,299		\$9,373,299		\$0		
Total				\$10,234,854		\$10,176,214		\$59,085		

**Approved SAFETEA-LU STP Program
Obligated Projects as of 10/1/11**

Project Name	Applicant	Prog. Year	TIP Number	Funding	Obligated			Project Balance	Project milestone & delivery date	Project Status Details
					Date	Amount*	Phase			
Regional STP										
Route 101/ Central Landscaping Enhancement	Camarillo	2006	07-VEN050404	\$33,000			PE	\$33,000		
Sta Rosa Rd @ Adolpho Intsect Mod	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN050402	\$44,265			ROW	\$44,265	Shift fr 101/PV	
Sta Rosa Rd @ Adolpho Intsect Mod	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN050402	\$998,385	8/2/2011	\$1,042,000	Con	(\$43,615)		
Sta Rosa Rd Widen Upland/Woodcrk	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN040502	\$1,870,277	5/14/2010	\$360,000	All	\$1,510,277		
							PE			
							RW			
							DES			
							CON			
Central Avenue Intersection Improve	Fillmore	2007	07-VEN93017	\$600,000	11/5/2007	\$600,000	Con	\$0		
Traffic Signal 23 / River St	Fillmore	2006	07-VEN031225	\$120,000	11/5/2007	\$120,000	Con	\$0		
Route 118 - Moorpark to e/o Spring	Moorpark	2007	07-VEN031219	\$796,770			Con	\$796,770		
Route 23 Widening High/Third	Moorpark	2009	07-VEN051213	\$1,500,000			Con	\$1,500,000		
S. Metrolink Parking Lot Access	Moorpark	2006	07-VEN031218	\$132,795			All	\$132,795		
							PE			
							RW			
							DES			
							CON			
E. Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	2006	07-VEN053403	\$53,000	6/28/2011	\$53,000	PE	\$0		
E. Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	2007	07-VEN053403	\$248,000			Con	\$248,000		
Hueneme Road Widening	Oxnard	2007	07-VEN34094	\$178,480			All	\$178,480	Con from Demo.	
OTC 4th St. Parking Refurbishment	Oxnard	2005	07-VEN031223	\$143,000	5/27/2005	\$143,000	PE	\$0	Completed	Completed
Rice/101 Interchange Improvements	Oxnard	2006	343	\$354,120			Con	\$354,120	Completion 12/12	

Project Name	Applicant	Prog. Year	TIP Number	Funding	Obligated			Project Balance	Project milestone & delivery date	Project Status Details
					Date	Amount*	Phase			
Route 101/Del Norte Landscpg Enh	Oxnard	2007	07-VEN053402	\$80,000	7/21/2011	\$80,000	PE	\$0		
Erringer Road Impr Royal/Fitzgerald	Simi Valley	2007	07-VEN055503	\$965,192	8/17/2007	\$964,692	Con	\$500		
Olson Road Widening	Simi Valley	2009	07-VEN051002	\$733,000	9/24/2009	\$717,503	Con	\$15,497		
Wendy Dr/Route 101 Int	T.O.	2006	07-VEN056406	\$550,000	2/24/2009	\$550,000	PE	\$0	Constructi	Design
Wendy Dr/Route 101 Int Improvemen	T.O.	2008	07-VEN056406	\$5,086,000			Con	\$5,086,000	Constructi on awarded 10/11	Design complete
Countywide Transit Study	VCTC	2010	07-VEN34348	\$200,000		\$200,000		\$0		Study underway
Total - Regional STP				\$14,686,284		\$4,830,195		\$9,856,089		
LOCAL STP										
Pavement Rehabilitation	Camarillo	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$971,555	4/22/2011	\$971,174	Con	\$381		
Local Street Overlay	Fillmore	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$303,201	7/30/2008	\$274,971	Con	\$28,230		
Street Overlays Citywide	Ojai	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$0			Con	\$0	Trade to County	
Street Rehab/Overlay	Moorpark	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$595,923	8/8/2007	\$595,293	Con	\$630	Obl 11/07	
Street Overlay/Resurfacing/Rehab	Oxnard	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$3,031,487	8/23/2011	\$3,031,487	Con	\$0		
Pavement Rehabilitation	Pt Hueneme	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$562,165	8/23/2007	\$562,165	Con	\$0	Completed 5/08	
Street Rehabilitation/Reconstruction	S.B.Ventur a	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$2,349,117	11/9/2005	\$2,038,390	Con	\$310,727		
Street Repaving	Santa Paula	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$686,217	3/24/2007	\$686,217	Con	\$0		
Street Rehabilitation	Simi Valley	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$2,447,223	5/24/2005	\$2,447,424	Con	(\$201)		
Street Pavement Rehabilitation	T.O.	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$2,439,981	4/27/2005	\$2,439,981	Con	\$0	Completed 8/11	Completed
Pavement Rehabilitation	County	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$5,921,687	4/15/2006	\$5,909,469	Con	\$12,218		
Total - STP Local				\$19,308,556		\$18,956,571		\$351,985		

Approved STP Mini-Call Program - Project Obligations as of 10/1/2011

		Prog.	TIP	STP	Obligated			Project	Project milestone & delivery date	Project Status Details
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount*	Phase	Balance		
Adolfo Road Intersection Toll Credit	Camarillo	2011	07-VEN050402	\$ 135,002	8/2/2011	\$135,000	Con	\$ 2		
Pavement Rehab Toll Credit	Camarillo	2012	07-VEN54032	\$ 125,875	4/22/2011	\$125,826	Con	\$ 49		
Street Overlay	Fillmore	Nov-11	07-VEN54032	\$ 26,559			PE	\$ 26,559		
Street Overlay	Fillmore	Aug-12	07-VEN54032	\$ 240,851			Con	\$ 240,851		
Pavement Rehab Toll Credit	Oxnard	2011	07-VEN54032	\$ 452,015	4/22/2011	\$ 452,015	Con	\$ -		
Hueneme Road Widening	Oxnard	2012	07-VEN34094	\$ 1,427,000			Con	\$ 1,427,000		
Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-11	07-VEN053403	\$ 125,713	6/28/2011	\$ 126,000	PE	\$ (287)		
Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-12	07-VEN053403	\$ 2,264,597			Con	\$ 2,264,597		
Victoria Avenue Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-11	07-VEN110112	\$ 58,873			PE/Con	\$ 58,873		
Victoria Avenue Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-12	07-VEN110112	\$ 914,957				\$ 914,957		
Fulton Street Extension Toll Credit	Ojai	2011	07-VEN010203	\$ 54,037			PE/Con	\$ 54,037		
Street Rehabilitation	Port Huener	Oct-11 Dec-12	07-VEN54032	\$ 370,000			PE/Con	\$ 370,000	12/12	Not started
California Street / 101 Off Ramp	S.B.Ventura	Jul-11	07-VEN010202	\$ 750,000			PE	\$ 750,000		
Major Street Rehabilitation	Simi Valley	Jul-11	07-VEN54032	\$ 697,000			Con	\$ 697,000		Submitted to CT in June.
Wendy Drive / 101 Improvement	T.O.	Sep-11	07-VEN056406	\$ 4,999,100			Con	\$ 4,999,100	Construction on 6/11 awarded 1/12	Design complete
Wendy Drive / 101 Toll Credit	T.O.	Sep-11	07-VEN056406	\$ 658,945			Con	\$ 658,945	Construction on 6/11 awarded 1/12	Design comp
Telegraph Road Bridge Reconstruct	Vta County	Apr-11	07-VEN54032	\$ 1,000,000			Con	\$ 1,000,000		HRRR Fund
TOTAL				\$13,300,524		\$ 838,841		\$ 12,461,683		

*Obligated amount = Funds approved by FHWA on Authorization to Proceed (FNM-76) form, or approved by FTA in grant application.

Approved SAFETEA-LU Program - Obligations as of 10/1/11

		Prog.	TIP	TEA	Obligations			Project	Project milestone & delivery date	Project
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount	Phase	Balance		Status
Route 101/Central Landscaping Enh	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN050404	\$ 498,000			Con	\$ 498,000		
Route 101/Del Norte Landscpg Enh	Oxnard	2008	07-VEN053402	\$ 495,000			All	\$ 495,000		PE RFA Subm
							PE		Dec-11	
							R/W			
							PSE			
							CON			
Surfers Point Bike Path Restoration	S.B. Ventura	2008	07-VEN990336	\$ 1,500,000	12/10/2009	\$1,500,000	Con	\$ -		Under constr
Santa Paula Bike Path	Santa Paula	2010	07-VEN54168	\$ 479,000	6/30/2010	\$ 479,000	Con	\$ -		Under constr
TOTAL				\$ 2,972,000		\$ 1,979,000		\$ 993,000		

Approved "Mini-Call" Program - Obligations as of 10/1/11

		Prog.	TIP	TEA	Obligations			Project	Project milestone & delivery date	
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount	Phase	Balance		Project Status Details
Lewis Road Landscaping	Camarillo	2013	07-VEN110114	\$ 780,000			Con	\$ 780,000		
Ponderosa Drive Landscaping	Camarillo	2013	07-VEN110305	\$ 300,000			Con	\$ 300,000		
Ponderosa Drive Landscaping Ph II	Camarillo	2013	07-VEN110307	\$ 400,000			Con	\$ 400,000		
Piru/Camulos Bike Trail	County	2012	07-VEN990310	\$ 479,000			Con	\$ 479,000	Adv 1/12	Ready to Adv
Tenth Street Improvements	Santa Paula	2011	07-VEN110113	\$ 600,000			PE/Cor	\$ 600,000		Coop
Transportation Center Landscaping	T.O.	2011	07-VEN110107	\$ 150,000			Con	\$ 150,000	Under design	
TOTAL				\$ 2,709,000		\$ -		\$ 2,709,000		

NOTE: Funds programmed under "TEA-21" included monies that were actually pre-programmed from "SAFETEA-LU."

Legend

ALL= PE, PSE, R/W,
CON

PE =Preliminary engineering & Environmental
clearances

PSE= Plans
Specifications &
Estimate

R/W= Right of Way Clearance

CON= Construction