

VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Camarillo City Hall
Administrative Conference Room
601 Carmen Drive
Camarillo. CA

Thursday, March 15, 2012 at 9:00 AM **REVISED**

Item	# 1	- 11 و	to C)rder
116111	#	 ип	10 .	истег

Item # 2 Public Comments

Item # 3 Approval of February 16, 2012 Minutes

Item #4 Mini Call for Projects for STP, CMAQ, and TE Funds

- Recommend the Commission program of \$19,544,769 of STP funds to the projects prioritized "above the line" in Attachment A, \$14,255,561 of CMAQ funds to the projects prioritized "above the line" in Attachment B, and \$2,600,000 of TE funds for the Surfers Point Bike Path Phase II in Ventura.
- Recommend the Commission approve the shelf list of \$6,517,626 for projects in Attachment C, for projects to be eligible to receive funds prior to September 30, 2013, should the need arise to avoid loss of funds or of program authority. Projects will receive funds in priority order depending on the need to use unobligated funds.

Item # 5 STP, CMAQ, TE Fund Status

• Review status of incomplete STP, CMAQ, and TE projects, and add any HBP, HSIP, or SR2S projects scheduled for FY2011/12 to the list.

Item # 6 Distribution of TTAC Bylaws for Review

• Distribute current TTAC bylaws for review in advance of discussion at April meeting.

Item # 7 Presentation on Route 101/Wendy Drive Interchange Improvements

• Receive and file presentation from Thousand Oaks staff on project status.

Item #8 Revisions to Ventura Surfers Point Bike Path Funds

• Approve replacing \$250,000 of TE funds for the Surfers Point Bike Path with \$250,000 in STP funds.

Item #9 Future Agenda Items

Congestion Management Program Update /Approval

Periodic Highway Construction Updates

Regional Transportation Funding & Planning

Update on the new Federal Program Authorization

Union Pacific/Public Utilities Commission Grade Crossing Policy

Route 1 Rerouting to Rice Avenue

VCTC Programming Procedures—Potential Revision

Harbor District Presentation

Item #10 Next Meeting April 19, 2012

Item #11 Adjournment

MINUTES OF THE VCTC TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

February 16, 2012

Item #1 - Call to Order

Chair David Fleisch of the County called the meeting to order at 9:10am. The attached attendance sheet shows those present.

Item #2 – Public Comments

No public comments were made.

Item #3 – Approval of January 19, 2012 Minutes

Jay Spurgin noted that the attendance sheet for the January meeting was incorrect. Ken Matsuoka made a motion to approve the minutes of the January meeting, aside from the attendance record. Dave Klotzle seconded. The motion was passed unanimously.

Item #4 – Information on Regional Transportation Plan

David Fleisch introduced the item and opened it up for discussion. The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) presentation on the RTP was emailed to the group prior to the meeting. Peter DeHaan commented that there has been a political push toward more bike and pedestrian funding. Jay Spurgin noted that Thousand Oaks has a master plan for bike projects and that there is tension between bike and automobiles.

Item #5 – Status of Regional Transportation Plan Projects

David Fleisch introduced the item. Peter DeHaan explained that SCAG has a list of projects in the RTP that was pulled from an outdated Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Moorpark, Camarillo, Simi Valley, Thousand Oaks, and the County of Ventura projects were updated. These and additional updates will be sent to Peter by COB on Tuesday, February 21st. Changes will be sent to SCAG so that the RTP Project List can be updated.

Item #6 – Status of Federal Transportation Authorizing Legislation

Peter De Haan stated that there are two versions of reauthorization legislation currently being discussed in Congress and summarized them in their current form. Current extension expires March 31. Action is not expected before the election. The Senate bill is bipartisan. The House bill includes funding from offshore oil drilling and allocates gas tax money toward highways while transit funds would come from the General Fund. The SCAG comparison of the two bills was discussed.

Item #7 - Discuss Future Agenda Items

The future agenda items were edited as shown below. There were no objections to these revisions.

Congestion Management Program Update/Approval

Periodic Highway Construction Updates

Invite SCAG to the TTAC meeting

Regional Transportation Funding & Planning

Update on the new Federal Program Authorization

Periodic Update on Prop 1B funds

City of Moorpark Functional Classification

Green house Bill Update (SB375)

Union Pacific/Public Utilities Commission Grade Crossing Policy

Route 1 Rerouting to Rice Avenue

VCTC Programming Procedures—Potential Revision

TTAC Bylaws—Potential Revision

Harbor District Presentation

Item #8 – Next Meeting March 15, 2012

Item #9 – Meeting adjourned

74	٠
٠	
	•
Ĺ	
<	ſ
Н	`
1	_
'n	í
_	
6	
'n	
AT TUDINATION	_
_	_
_	
<	Į
-	
	•
\mathbf{c}	7
Ā	i
-	
ь	ï
$\overline{\lambda}$	ø
\vdash	
₹	
\geq	
745	
	_
F	
F	
F	
F	
F	
アルロア・ファイド かつ ドンドラ	

	oli lis		ATTE	ATTENDANCE	
	1/10/1/2	LISTO	F TTAC MEN	LIST OF TTAC MEMBERS / ALTERNATES	
Badge #	NAME		PHONE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
	Ken Matsuoka	City of Camarillo	383-5672	kmatsuoka@ci.camarillo.ca.us	The Market
	Bill Golubics	City of Camarillo	388-5356	bgolubics@ci.camarillo.ca.us	1
	Bert Rapp	City of Fillmore	524-1500 x231	brapp@ci.fillmore.ca.us	
	Tom Scott	City of Fillmore	524-1500 x 234	<u>tscott@ci.fillmore.ca.us</u>	
	Glenn Hawks	City of Ojai	640-2560	hawks@ci.ojai.ca.us	
	Greg Grant	City of Ojai	646-5581 x 201	grant@ci.ojai.ca.us	
	Andrea Boggs	City of Ojai	646-5581 ext 209	boggs@ci.ojai.ca.us	
015	Dave Klotzle	City of Moorpark	517-6285	dklotzle@ci.moorpark.ca.us	All.
	Soher Abdemalik	City of Oxnard	385-7873	soher.abdemalik@ci.oxnard.ca.us	
	Martin Erickson	City of Oxnard	385-7870	Martin.Erickson@ci.oxnard.ca.us	
	Jason Samonte	City of Oxnard	385-7872	Jason.Samonte@ci.oxnard.ca.us	
	Kit Nell	City of Port Hueneme	986-6500	knell@ci.port-hueneme.ca.us	
	Andres Santamaria	City of Port Hueneme	986-6568	asantamaria@ci.port-hueneme.ca.us	
	Brian Yanez	City of Santa Paula	933-4212	byanez@spcity.org	
ιS	Chic Dabbs	City of Simi Valley	583-6809	CDABBS@simivalley.org	Fay, / Mr.
	David Medina	City of Simi Valley	583-6810	dmedina@simivalley.gov	7
のとり	Kamran Panah	City of Simi Valley	583-6886	kpanah@simivalley.org	RIDIP
977	Jay Spurgin	City of Thousand Oaks	449-2444	<u>ispurgin@toaks.org</u>	14
	Tom Pizza	City of Thousand Oaks	449-2430	tpizza@toaks.org	· //
	Rick Raives	City of Ventura	654-7870	rraives@ci.ventura.ca.us	
9	Tom Mericle	City of Ventura	654-7870	tmericle@ci.ventura.ca.us	,
023	Ben Emami	County of Ventura	654-2087	ben.emami@ventura.org	12-5-14
73	David Fleisch	County of Ventura	654-2077	david.fleisch@ventura.org	MIN
	Chris Birkelo	Port of Hueneme	(805)488-3677	cbirkelo@portofhueneme.org	1/2/1
031	Ben Cacatian	VCAPCD	645-1428	ben@vcapcd.org	Berth
	Steve Brown	Gold Coast Transit	483-3959 ext 116	sbrown@goldcoasttransit.org	

ATTENDANCE

LIST OF TTAC MEMBERS / ALTERNATES

	SIGNATURE		Peter Do	4								1 4 9 m	S AND								
OF LIAC MEMBERS / ALIERWALES	E-MAIL ADDRESS	kforsythe@goventura.org	pdehaan@goventura.org	sdegeorge@goventura.org	dkettle@goventura.org		Kirk Cessna@dot.ca.gov	Dale Benson@dot.ca.gov	nayna shah@dot.ca.gov	mzarbi@dot.ca.gov	fredbral@dot.ca.gov	robert y wong@dot.ca.gov	syoung@goventura.org								
r lacividiy	PHONE	642-1591 x 105	642-1591 x106		x123	966-6452	(213) 620-6544		650-7179		213-897-2938	213-897-0192	642-1591 x108			-					
LISTO	AGENCY	VCTC	VCTC	VCTC	VCTC	COH & Assoc.	Caltrans	Caltrans	Caltrans	Caltrans	Caltrans	Caltrans	VCTC								
	NAME	Kerry Forsythe	Peter De Haan	Steve DeGeorge	Darren Kettle	Carlos Hernandez	Kirk Cessna	Dale Benson	Nayna Shah	Morris Zarbi	Fred Bral	Robert Wong	Stephanie Young								
	Badge#		1 790									28	210	7							



Item #4

March 15, 2012

MEMO TO: TRANSIT OPERATORS COMMITTEE

TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR

STEPHANIE YOUNG, PROGRAMMING ANALYST

SUBJECT: MINI CALL FOR PROJECTS FOR STP, CMAQ, AND TE FUNDS

RECOMMENDATION:

- Recommend the Commission program of \$19,544,769 of STP funds to the project prioritized "above the line" in Attachment A, \$14,255,561 of CMAQ funds to the projects prioritized "above the line" in Attachment B, and \$2,600,000 of TE funds for the Surfers Point Bike Path Phase II in Ventura.
- Recommend the Commission approve the shelf list of \$6,517,626 for projects in Attachment C, for projects to be eligible to receive funds prior to September 30, 2013, should the need arise to avoid loss of funds or of program authority. Projects will receive funds in priority order depending on the need to use unobligated funds.

BACKGROUND:

At the December meeting, VCTC approved guidelines (Attachment F) for the Mini Call for Projects. Project applications were due to VCTC on February 6th. Prior to the deadline staff received \$92.3 million in applications, many of which were for projects requesting consideration in multiple funding categories. In addition, on February 27th staff received an application from the Port of Hueneme for \$6,060,367 in CMAQ for the Multi-Modal Shoreside Power Project. VCTC staff established a list of the projects submitted and gave initial scoring to the projects according to the approved criteria. VCTC staff also met with Ben Cacatian of the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) to review the CMAQ projects for eligibility, and review the scoring for air quality. A subcommittee, appointed by TRANSCOM and TTAC, met on February 27th to review the projects listing and the scoring. In addition, as directed by TRANSCOM and TTAC, the subcommittee recommended a project shelf list. The subcommittee did not review the Port of Hueneme project.

Two of the nominated projects were not included in the project ranking as they were determined to be ineligible. Based on feedback from FTA staff, the proposed East County Fixed Route Transit Service is likely ineligible since much of the service appears to supplant the existing VISTA East service. The proposed Oxnard Gateway signs were nominated for TE funds as a highway beautification project, but signage does not appear to be an eligible highway beautification activity based on the TE Guidelines.

Staff did not perform a TE project scoring since all of the projects eligible for TE funds were also eligible for CMAQ. Staff instead recommends that all of the available TE funds be programmed for the Surfers

Point Bike Path Phase II project in Ventura. For administrative purposes it will be simpler to use all of the available TE funds on one project, and Phase I of this project was also funded through TE. All of the remaining TE-eligible projects were included in the CMAQ project scoring.

Due to the federal Toll Credit policy, it is possible for a project to proceed with no local match, rather than the minimum required 11.47% match. Three cities plus VCTC requested that their projects receive 100% federal funds. However, the recommendation is to require all the cities to provide at least the minimum match, to allow the funding of more projects given the large number of projects submitted. VCTC is still recommended to receive 100% federal funding to avoid increasing the amount of Local Transportation Funds taken "off the top." In addition, Gold Coast Transit requested three years of funding for the Victoria Avenue Transit Service, but to allow funding of more projects, staff is recommending funding only the first two years, with the third year being eligible for consideration in a future call for projects.

Specific scoring issues are as follows:

- The STP criteria included a 5-point penalty if the prior "Mini-Call" projects were delivered after
 the date stated on their applications unless the agency submitted a letter giving a satisfactory
 reason for the delay. Attachment E provides the letter submitted by Oxnard. Based on the
 information in this letter, staff and the subcommittee recommended that Oxnard not receive the
 5-point penalty.
- A 15-point equitable distribution score was applied to some projects for the County of Ventura, Camarillo, and Thousand Oaks to bring those agencies' totals close to their population share of the available funds. Attachment D shows the funding distribution by jurisdiction for the recommended program. Other agencies are below their population share but none of their projects remain unfunded. In particular, Fillmore, Santa Paula, and Port Hueneme did not nominate any projects, although Santa Paula recently received a significant amount of funding during the programming of prior project cost increases. This cost increase, along with others approved by TTAC and TRANSCOM in November 2011, is shown in Attachment D. Cost increases were subtracted from agencies' population shares.
- Although not included in the criteria, the subcommittee discussed and recommended various "tie-breaker" methods to select between STP projects having the same score which could not all be funded based on the available funds. It was recommended that the Camarillo street rehabilitation project be funded since Camarillo is under its population share, and that the Simi Valley street rehabilitation project be funded on grounds that Simi Valley was significantly under its share in the 2010 Mini Call for Projects. The Oxnard Rose Avenue Resurfacing was placed above the line since it most closely matches the remaining amount of funds.
- Due to the project having been submitted late, staff has not scored the Port of Hueneme project for air quality due to the project having been submitted after the scheduled review by VCAPCD and the subcommittee. Staff recommends against funding this project because it was submitted 21 days past the deadline.

Based on discussions at prior Committee and Commission meetings, the subcommittee discussed the policy for having a shelf of projects that would receive funding should circumstances warrant to avoid a possible loss of funds or of program authority. The subcommittee recommended that the projects shown in Attachment C be approved as a two-year shelf list, to be funded in priority order to the extent the projects are able to quickly obligate funds when required. The subcommittee also recommended that projects on the shelf list receiving funds before September 30, 2012 would not have priority for funding in future calls for projects.

As in prior discussions regarding federal funds, it is important to note that the upcoming reauthorization could limit the ability to spend STP funds on local road rehabilitation. Although there is a significant amount of funds recommended for local road rehabilitation, since the Mini-Call for Projects is programming funds through FY 2012/13, the ability to use these STP funds for local rehabilitation is subject to possible federal policy changes made through reauthorization. Similarly, should there be a significant reduction in federal funds in the next two years it could be necessary to delay projects to later years.

PROJECT NAME/ DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	FUN	PERAL DS UESTED	TOT/ PROJ	AL JECT COST				SEL	ECTION (CRITERIA	A & SCOF	RING				TOTAL SCORE	NOTES	CUN	MULATIVE
						Improve LOS (15)	Impr. Access to Regnl. facility (10)	Preserve Existing facility (5)	Impr. Safety & Sec. (10)	Mulit Model (5)	Local Match (5)	TCM (10)	CMP (10)	Equitable Distribution (0-15)	Project Readiness (0-15)	Prior Delivery Record (negative)				
Highway Investment Study	VCTC	\$	500,000	\$	500,000	15	10	0	10	5	0	0	10		15		65	5	\$	500,000
Pleasant Valley Rd at Sturgis Rd Intersection Improvements	County of Ventura	\$	335,000	\$	560,000	15	10	0	10	10	5	10	0		0		60		\$	835,000
Pleasant Valley Rd at East Fifth St Intersection Improvements	County of Ventura	\$	1,425,000	\$	1,780,000	15	10	0	10	10	0	10			0		55		\$	2,260,000
Pleasant Valley Rd Bikelanes	County of Ventura	\$	360,000	\$	450,000	0	10	0	10	0	5	10	0	15	0		50		\$	2,620,000
Hueneme Rd Bike Lanes	County of Ventura	\$	168,000	\$	280,000	0	10	0	10	0	5	10	0	15	0		50)	\$	2,788,000
Erringer Rd at Cochran St Right Turn Lane	Simi Valley	\$	575,445	\$	650,000	5	10	0	10	5	0	10	0		5	-5	40)	\$	3,363,445
Rice Ave at Wooley Rd Intersection Improvements	County of Ventura	\$	200,000	\$	250,000	10	10	0	10	0	0	10	0		0		40)	\$	3,563,445
Road Resurfacing	Ojai	\$	354,120	\$	400,000	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	15	15		40		\$	3,917,565
Madera Rd Widening between Country Club West and Wood Ranch Pkwy	Simi Valley	\$	885,300	\$	1,000,000	0	10	0	10	5	0	0	0		15	-5	35	5	\$	4,802,865
Rice Corridor Bridge at Fifth St	Oxnard	\$	1,760,000	\$	2,000,000	5	10	0	10	5	0	0	0		5			PE only	\$	6,562,865
Pavement Rehab - Moorpark	Moorpark	\$	637,416	\$	720,000	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	15	15		35	5	\$	7,200,281
Pancho Rd Improvements	Camarillo	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,694,500	0	0	5	5	5	5	0	0	15	0		35	5	\$	8,700,281
Madera Rd Widening between Simi Village Dr and Los Angeles Ave	Simi Valley	\$	531,180	\$	600,000	5	10	0	10	5	0	0	0		5	-5	30)	\$	9,231,461
Kanan Rd Pavement Rehab	County of Ventura	\$	648,000	\$	1,080,000	0	0	5	5	0	5	0	0		15		30)	\$	9,879,461
Hueneme Rd Pavement Rehab	County of Ventura	\$	800,000	\$	1,330,000	0	0	5	5	0	5	0	0	15	0		30)	\$	10,679,461
Etting Rd Pavement Rehab	County of Ventura	\$	360,000	\$	600,000	0	0	5	5	0	5	0	0	15	0		30)	\$	11,039,461
West LA Ave Bike Lanes	Simi Valley	\$	2,213,250	\$	2,500,000	0	10	0	10	5	0	10	0		0	-5	30)	\$	13,252,711
Pavement Rehab Various Streets Phase I	Camarillo	\$	500,000	\$	565,000	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	15	0		25	5	\$	13,752,711
Street Rehab	Simi Valley	\$	3,629,730	\$	4,100,000	0	0	5	10	0	0	0	0		15	-5	25	5	\$	17,382,441
Rose Ave Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$	2,162,328	\$	2,442,480	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		15		25	CON	\$	19,544,769
Del Norte Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$	2,688,479	\$	3,036,800	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		15		25	5	\$	22,233,248
Fifth St Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$	1,062,360	\$	1,200,000	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		15		25	5	\$	23,295,608
Rose Ave Bridge at Fifth St Fleet Maintenance Facility	Oxnard	\$	26,400,000	\$	30,000,000	5	0	0	10	5	0	0	0		0		20)	\$	49,695,608
Canopy Canopy	Thousand Oaks	\$	300,000	\$	375,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0		5		15	5	\$	49,995,608

PROJECT NAME/ DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	FEDER FUNDS REQUE		TOT PRO	AL JECT COST				SEL	ECTION (CRITERIA	\ & SCOF	RING				TOTAL SCORE	NOTES	CUM	IULATIVE
						Improve LOS (15)	Impr. Access to Regnl. facility (10)	Preserve Existing facility (5)	Impr. Safety & Sec. (10)	Mulit Model (5)	Local Match (5)	TCM (10)	CMP (10)	Equitable Distribution (0-15)	Project Readiness (0-15)	Prior Delivery Record (negative)				
Sturgis Rd Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$ 1	1,472,560	\$	1,663,345	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		0		10		\$	51,468,168
Vineyard Ave Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$ 1	1,866,655	\$	2,108,500	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		0		10		\$	53,334,823
Harbor Blvd Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$ 2	2,787,808	\$	3,148,998	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		0		10		\$	56,122,631
Channel Islands Blvd Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$ 3	3,733,091	\$	4,216,752	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		0		10		\$	59,855,722
Camino Del Sol Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$ 4	4,216,043	\$	4,762,276	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		0		10		\$	64,071,765
Pavement Rehab Various Streets Phase II	Camarillo	\$ 1	1,000,000	\$	1,130,000	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0		0		10		\$	65,071,765

CMAQ ATTACHMENT B

PROJECT NAME/DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	FEDERAL FUNDS REQUESTED	TOTAL PROJECT		SELEC	TION CRITI	ERIA & SC	ORING		TOTAL SCORE	COMMENTS	CUMULATIVE TOTAL
				Improve Mobility (Up to 25)	Improve Air Quality (Up to 25)	Multi-modal/HOV (10)	Funding Match (10)	Equitable Distribution (Up to	Project Readiness (Up to 15)			
Victoria Ave Corridor Transit Service	Gold Coast	\$ 1,369,559	\$ 2,352,000	25	25	10	0		15	75	2 yrs of funding. 3rd yr in "shelf."	\$ 1,369,559
Rideshare Programs	VCTC	\$ 886,000	\$ 886,000	25	25	10	0		15	75	;	\$ 2,255,559
Traffic/Trip Demand Management Plan	Oxnard	\$ 132,795	\$ 150,000	25	25	10	0		15	75	PE	\$ 2,388,354
Victoria Ave Bus Stops	Oxnard	\$ 374,962	\$ 423,542	25	25	10	0		15	75	i	\$ 2,763,316
Moorpark City Transit Extended Hours	Moorpark	\$ 602,004	\$ 680,000	25	20	10	0		15	70)	\$ 3,365,320
Erbes Rd Improvements	Thousand Oaks	\$ 4,200,000	\$ 5,250,000	20	20	10	0		15	65	CON	\$ 7,565,320
VCTC Marketing/Community Outreach	VCTC	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	20	15	10	0		15	60		\$ 8,565,320
Bike Facilities for the NECSP	Oxnard	\$ 643,896	\$ 727,320	25	25	10	0		0	60		\$ 9,209,216
Extended Bus Service	Thousand Oaks	\$ 170,000	\$ 212,500	25	20	0	0		15	60		\$ 9,379,216
Extended Trolley Service Hours	Ojai	\$ 132,795	\$ 150,000	25	20	0	0		15	60		\$ 9,512,011
C Street Bike Facilities	Oxnard	\$ 306,075	\$ 345,730	20	20	10	0		0	50		\$ 9,818,086
Arneill Rd/Dunnigan St Traffic Signal	Camarillo	\$ 250,000	\$ 282,500	15	10	10	0	15	0	50)	\$ 10,068,086
Transit Stops Enhancement	Oxnard	\$ 271,769	\$ 306,979	20	15	10	0		5	50)	\$ 10,339,855
Saturday Bus Service	Thousand Oaks	\$ 600,000	\$ 750,000	20	10	0	0	15	5	50)	\$ 10,939,855
Rose Ave Sidewalk	Oxnard	\$ 434,555	\$ 490,856	15	15	10	0		5	45	;	\$ 11,374,410
Las Posas Rd Bike Lanes	County of Ventura	\$ 230,000	\$ 380,000	20	15	0	10		0	45	;	\$ 11,604,410
Citywide Sidewalk Survey	Oxnard	\$ 177,060	\$ 200,000	15	15	10	0		5	45	;	\$ 11,781,470
Trapeze Software Upgrades	Thousand Oaks	\$ 100,000	\$ 120,000	20	10	0	0		15	45	;	\$ 11,881,470
Ventura Blvd Sidewalk	Oxnard	\$ 913,346	\$ 1,037,680	15	10	10	0		5	40)	\$ 12,794,816
Three Replacement CNG Buses	Simi Valley	\$ 1,460,745	\$ 1,650,000	10	5	10	0		15	40		\$ 14,255,561
Four Replacement Paratransit Vans	Simi Valley	\$ 354,120	\$ 400,000	10	5	10	0		15	40		\$ 14,609,681
Transportation Center Improvements	Thousand Oaks	\$ 600,000	\$ 750,000	10	10	10	0		5	35		\$ 15,209,681
CNG Fuel Station at Transportation Center	Thousand Oaks	\$ 800,000	\$ 1,000,000	5	15	10	0		0	30		\$ 16,009,681
Oxnard Bike/Ped Trail Fifth St Segment	Oxnard	\$ 2,570,025	\$ 2,903,000	5	5	10	0		5	25	PE, ROW	\$ 18,579,706
Multi-Modal Shoreside Power Project Santa Clara River Trail, Victoria Ave	Port of Hueneme	\$ 6,060,367	\$ 10,100,612	0	?	0	10		15	25	;	\$ 24,640,073
Segment	Oxnard	\$ 1,425,426	\$ 1,610,105	5	5	10	0		0	20		\$ 26,065,499

STP

			FEDERA	L FUNDS
	PROJECT NAME/DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	REQUES	TED
1	Del Norte Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$	2,688,479
2	Fifth St Resurfacing	Oxnard	\$	1,062,360
	Fleet Maintenance Facility			
3	Canopy	Thousand Oaks	\$	300,000

CMAQ

			FEDERAL	FUNDS
	PROJECT NAME/DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	REQUESTI	ED
	Victoria Ave Corridor Transit			
1	Service 3rd Year	Gold Coast	\$	712,667
2	Four Paratransit Vans	Simi Valley	\$	354,120
	Transportation Center			
3	Improvements	Thousand Oaks	\$	600,000
	CNG Fuel Station at			
4	Transportation Center	Thousand Oaks	\$	800,000

<u>Distribution of Funds Assuming Population Shares</u> (Assumes 2011 populations)

						Project Above	
	2011 Population	Population Percentage	Population Share	Cost Increases	Remaining Share	Funding Cut Off	Over/Under
Camarillo	65,830	7.95%	\$3,066,861	\$717,000	\$2,349,861	\$ 2,250,000	(99,861)
Fillmore	15,120	1.83%	\$704,404		\$704,404	\$ -	(704,404)
Moorpark	34,710	4.19%	\$1,617,055		\$1,617,055	\$ 1,239,420	(377,635)
Ojai	7,511	0.91%	\$349,919	\$50,000	\$299,919	\$ 486,915	186,996
Oxnard	199,722	24.11%	\$9,304,567	\$1,342,340	\$7,962,227	\$ 7,861,566	(100,662)
Port Hueneme	21,477	2.59%	\$1,000,562		\$1,000,562	\$ -	(1,000,562)
San Buenaventura	107,124	12.93%	\$4,990,649	\$260,000	\$4,730,649	\$ 3,284,780	(1,445,870)
Santa Paula	29,531	3.56%	\$1,375,778	\$1,110,000	\$265,778	\$ -	(265,778)
Simi Valley	125,026	15.09%	\$5,824,660	\$131,700	\$5,692,960	\$ 9,295,650	3,602,690
Thousand Oaks	127,557	15.40%	\$5,942,574	\$967,000	\$4,975,574	\$ 5,070,000	94,426
City Total	733,608	88.56%	\$34,177,031	\$4,578,040	\$29,598,991	\$29,488,330	(110,661)
County	94,775	11.44%	\$4,415,339		\$4,415,339	\$ 4,526,000	110,661
VCTC						\$ 2,386,000	
TOTAL	828,383	100.00%	\$38,592,370	\$4,578,040	\$34,014,330	\$34,014,330	0
TOTAL+VCTC					_	\$ 36,400,330	



Public Works Engineering, 305 West Third Street, Oxnard Ca 93030

Feb 8, 2012

Mr. Peter DeHaan Ventura County Transportation Commission 950 County Square Drive, Suite 207 Ventura Ca. 93003

SUBJECT: Hueneme Road Widening Project (VEN34094) – Reasons for Delays

Mr. HeHaan:

Please consider this information in your review of the recently submitted projects for the February 6, 2012, VCTC Mini-call for projects.

The Hueneme Road project was delayed beyond the target date of June 2011, due to unfortunate timing in the economy, and certain conditions beyond the City's control, specifically, difficulty in securing encroachment permits for a rail road crossing and for a flood control channel.

Hueneme Road is a corridor for many utilities in the planning stage. There were uncertainties regarding the Southshore Development adjacent to Hueneme Road east of the project area and their planned off-site utilities. Southshore was conditioned, via a Development agreement, to pay for the installation of master planned water mains and sewer trunk lines on Hueneme Road. The economy came to a crawl and the developer pulled out of the agreement. The City is also in the construction phase of a new recycled water facility on Perkins Road, with distribution lines planned on Hueneme Road, through the project area. The alignment and sizing of the utility lines was dependent on the Southshore development, so as the conditions changed, the City had to make corresponding adjustments. In addition, Calleguas Water purveyor is currently under construction along Hueneme Road installing a salinity management pipeline. It is scheduled for completion in June 2012. It was the City's intent to install all underground utilities before the surface improvements.

The project involves a Ventura County Rail Road (VCRR) crossing which has required lengthy negotiations with the owner regarding the required improvements and the associated costs. The City and VCRR have tentative reached and agreement and the encroachment permit from VCRR is being processed.

The project has a Ventura County Watershed Protection District channel crossing requiring a bridge widening. The County changed their requirements to reflect new drainage laws and future channel improvements. The City's plans for the crossing had to be redesigned. Likewise, the encroachment permit from the County will be processed.

Mr. DeHaan Hueneme Rd Project (VEN34094) Feb 8, 2012

Schedule:

The City of Oxnard has been in contact with Caltrans since late December of 2011 to coordinate the obligation of funds for the Hueneme Road Improvement Project. The City of Oxnard sent a request to Caltrans on February 7, 2012 to recertify the environmental documentation. Once approved by Caltrans the City will submit the paperwork to obligate the funds and move forward on March 6, 2012 with a City Council request to authorize the solicitation of bids. We anticipate starting construction activities in July 2012, with a 9 to 10 month construction period. Completion is projected in the spring of 2013.

Please call me at (805) 340-5358 if you have questions or need additional information, or email at: lou.balderrama@ci.oxnard.ca.us.

Sincerely,

Lou Balderrama, P.E.

Public Works City Engineer

LB:lb

CC: VCTC Feb 6, 2012 Mini Call for Projects File

REVISED CMAQ FUNDING PROJECT SELECTION GUIDELINES FOR VENTURA COUNTY (FOR MINI CALL PURPOSES)

CMAQ funds are used for projects which mitigate congestion and improve air quality. Types of eligible projects are as follows:

Clean Fuel Bus Fleets and Support Facilities

Improved Public Transit/Ridesharing

Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities

Traffic Management / Congestion Relief Strategies

Clean Fuel Fleet Subsidy Programs

Other Projects that meet the screening criteria

Two sets of criteria are used to evaluate projects proposed for CMAQ funding. First, **Screening Criteria** will be used to determine if a proposed project is an eligible candidate. Projects which do not satisfy all of the screening criteria will not be evaluated any further. Second, **Selection Criteria** will be used to evaluate the relative merits of each project to determine what its score/priority ranking should be.

Screening Criteria

The screening criteria are divided into three categories. Proposed projects must meet **all** of these screening criteria in order to move to the next phase of the process.

1. Project Eligibility

- A. Proposed project is eligible for CMAQ funds (see list of eligible project types on page 4-5 of these guidelines)
- B. Project applicant is a city, the County, a transit operator, or other public transportation agency, or a non-profit organization capable of funding and delivering the project, or is a private/public partnership (possibly with some private funding) subject to approval of FHWA and FTA.
- C. Proposed project mitigates measurably improves air quality.

2. Planning Consistency

- A. Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the adopted RTP (i.e. SCAG's 2008 RTP).
- B. Project is consistent with the most-recently adopted general plan(s).

- C. Project is consistent with the most-recently adopted District Air Quality Management Plan.
- D. Traffic flow improvement projects must be on roadways eligible for federal funding, which include projects on rural major collectors (and above) and urban collectors (and above).
- E. Transit improvement projects must be consistent with the policies and standards in the adopted Congestion Management Program.

3. Financial Feasibility

- A. Recipient of funds must have the financial capacity to complete, operate and maintain the project.
- B. Funds required from other sources (for local match) must be reasonably expected to be available.
- C. Project can be implemented within Federal delivery requirements.

Selection Criteria

There are eight selection criteria to be used to evaluate projects which have been found to meet the above screening criteria. Each of the criteria has a specific number of "points" assigned to them; these are maximums and as such 100 points represents a "perfect score" for any project.

Because a priority list of project categories has been established, it is difficult to evaluate projects across categories (i.e. how is a project to improve public transit compared to a traffic flow improvement, or a bicycle facility). Therefore, the criteria below provide a basic framework for ranking projects within each individual category. To a lesser extent, the criteria will help determine project "worthiness" and, in broad terms, the relative strength of each project.

In general, projects will be evaluated against each criteria to determine the degree to which they accomplish the stated goal or purpose.

A. Improve mobility. (0 to 25 points)

Project improves mobility
 Up to 25 points

Project does not provides mobility improvement 0 points

B. Improve air quality. (Based on consultation with APCD staff.) (0 to 25 points)

Reduction in vehicle emissions
 Up to 25 points

No reduction in vehicle emissions
 0 points

C. Address multi-modal or HOV needs. (10 points)

 Project improves coordination between, and access to, more than one mode of travel or HOV
 10 points

 Project provides little or no improvement to coordination between, or access to, more than one mode of travel or HOV
 0 points

D. Funding Leverage (10 points)

 Applicant provides at least 20% local match over the required match
 10 points

Applicant does not provide at least 20% local match over
 0 points

E. Equitable Distribution of Funds (0 to 15 points)

 Funding the project moves a local jurisdiction closer to receiving an equitable share of funding.
 Up to 15 points

Funding does not move local jurisdiction closer
 to receiving an equitable share of funding
 0 points

F. Project Readiness (0 to 15 points)

• Funds can be obligated by Dec 31, 2012 15 points

Funds can be obligated by April 30, 2013
 5 points

REGIONAL STP FUNDING PROJECT SELECTION GUIDELINES FOR VENTURA COUNTY

Regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds are used for transportation capital projects of "regional" significance.

Program Goals

The goal of this regional or countywide program is to provide funds for improvement projects which benefit more than a single community and/or improve access to "regionally significant" facilities. The objectives of the program are summarized as follows:

- o Reduce congestion and improve mobility in Ventura County.
- o Support Ventura County in its efforts to attain Federal and State air quality standards.
- o Serve as an "alternative" funding source for projects beyond the capability of any one jurisdiction to fund.
- o Provide for an equitable distribution of funds across Ventura County.

Two sets of criteria are used to evaluate projects proposed for "regional" STP funding. First, **Screening Criteria** will be used to determine if a proposed project is an eligible candidate. Projects which do not satisfy all of the screening criteria will not be evaluated any further. Second, **Selection Criteria** will be used to evaluate the relative merits of each project to determine if it should be selected for funding and what its priority ranking should be.

Screening Criteria

The screening criteria are divided into three categories. Proposed projects must meet **all** of these screening criteria in order to move to the next phase of the process.

1. <u>Project Eligibility</u>

- A. Proposed project is eligible for STP funds (see page 7 for list of eligible projects).
- B. Project applicant is a city, the County, a transit operator, or other public transportation agency.

2. Planning Consistency

- A. Project is consistent with the goals and policies of the adopted RTP (i.e. SCAG's 2008 RTP).
- B. Project is consistent with the most recently-adopted general plan(s).
- C. Project is consistent with the most-recently adopted Air Quality Management Plan.

- D. Roadway improvement projects must be on roadways eligible for federal funding, which includes projects on rural major collectors (and above) and urban collectors (and above).
- E. Transit improvement projects must be consistent with the policies and standards in the adopted Congestion Management Program.

3. Financial Feasibility

- A. Recipient of funds must have the financial capacity to complete, operate and maintain the project.
- B. Funds required from other sources (for local match) must be reasonably expected to be available.
- C. Project can be implemented within Federal delivery requirements.

Selection Criteria

There are nine selection criteria which are used to evaluate projects which have been found to meet the above screening criteria. Each of the criteria has a specific number of "points" assigned to them; these are maximums and as such 100 points represents a "perfect score" for any project.

Projects will be evaluated against each criterion to determine the degree to which they accomplish the stated goal or purpose. To further guide the scoring process, specific points are assigned within each criteria (e.g. Low = 5 points, Moderate = 10 points, High = 15 points). This is intended to simplify the ranking process and focus review on the substantive issues rather than finite point differentials. The ten criteria are described below.

- A. Improve <u>existing</u> level of service (roadway or system) through reduced delay and/or travel time. (15 points)
- B. Improve access to regional facilities such as ports, airports, universities, state & national parks, historic sites or military/government facilities. (10 points)
- C. Preservations of existing facilities including overlay. (5 points)
 - Project preserves, replaces or rehabilitates a transportation facility
 5 points
 - Does not preserve, replaces or rehabilitates a transportation facility
 0 points
- D. Improve safety or security on roadways or at transit and transportation facilities. (10 points)
 - Project has high impact on a safety or security problem

10 points

- Project has moderate impact on a safety or security problem
 5 points
- Project has little or no impact on a safety or security problem
 0 points
- E. Address multi-modal or HOV needs. (5 points)
 - Project improves coordination between, and access to, more than one mode of travel or HOV
 5 points
 - Project does not improve coordination between, or access to, more than one mode of travel or HOV
 0 points
- F. Funding Leverage (5 points)
 - Applicant provides at least 40% local match
 5 points
 - Applicant does not provide at least 40% local match
 0 points
- G. Transportation control measure (TCM) in the latest District-approved Air Quality Management Plan. (10 points)
 - Is the project on the TCM list 10 points
 - The project is not on the TCM list 0 points
- H. CMP Deficiency (10 points)
 - The project is on the CMP deficiency list (pg. 86 of the CMP) 10 points
 - Project not on the CMP deficiency list
 0 point
- I. Equitable Distribution of Funds. (0-15 points)
 - Funding the project moves a local jurisdiction closer to receiving an equitable share of funding
 Up to 15 points
 - Funding the project does not move a local jurisdiction closer to receiving an equitable share of funding
 0 points
- J. Project Readiness (0 to 15 points)
 - Funds can be obligated by Dec 31, 2012
 15 points
 - Funds can be obligated by April 30, 2013 5 points

- K. Prior Delivery Record (negative points)
 - VCTC will consider subtracting up to five points from an agency's scores for each 2010 Mini-Call STP Project for which construction funds were to be obligated by July 1, 2011 per the project application, but were not as of September 30th. (See Draft List on page 4 of Technical Appendix.) Agencies having such projects should include in their Application Package a letter describing the project's current schedule and explaining why the project is behind schedule. The reduction of points for an agency's project will be based on the legitimacy of the rationale for the project delay being beyond the project sponsor's control.

NOTE: "Obligated" means the funds are no longer shown in the unobligated apportionment balance, due to FHWA either providing E-76 approval or transferring funds to FTA for a transit project.



Item #5

March 15, 2012

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR

STEPHANIE YOUNG, PROGRAM ANALYST

SUBJECT: STATUS OF FEDERAL STP, CMAQ, AND TE FUNDS

RECOMMENDATION:

Review project status.

DISCUSSION:

Under federal law, STP, CMAQ, and TE funds apportioned to California lapse if they are not used within three years. AB 1012, which became law in October, 1999, applies the three-year lapsing rule to CMAQ and STP funds in each county. Furthermore, since, under California Transportation Commission (CTC) policy, TE funds are programmed based on County shares through the STIP, there are STIP timely-use requirements that apply to these funds. For these reasons, it is important for VCTC to have an accurate schedule of STP, CMAQ, and TE fund obligations. VCTC also uses this project schedule to ensure that the financially-constrained programming for the current year in the TIP includes all of the projects which are ready-to-go. Additionally, this schedule is provided to Caltrans for use in managing statewide obligational authority.

ATTACHMENT A shows the amount of funds which are scheduled to lapse during the current fiscal year ending September 30, 2012. No CMAQ funds are scheduled to lapse but both STP and TE have funds that may lapse if not obligated. Furthermore, as the Committee is aware in recent years there has been considerable uncertainty regarding federal rescissions of unobligated apportionment balances.

ATTACHMENT B contains the proposed list of projects to be obligated in FY 2011/12, and staff requests that agencies ensure that this list includes all projects expected to be obligated during the upcoming year. Since Caltrans uses information from this list to manage obligation authority which involves other funds programmed by Caltrans, that agency needs to also have information regarding upcoming Highway Bridge Program (HBP), Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), and Safe Routes to Schools (SR2S) projects. Therefore, staff requests that TTAC members come to the meeting with information regarding projects to be obligated this year using these other funds.

Finally, this item also includes the tables showing the status of all incomplete STP, CMAQ, and TE projects. These are found in ATTACHMENT C. Staff requests that agencies provide their status updates at the TTAC meeting.

ATTACHMENT A

BREAKDOWN OF U		VENTURA COUNTY FEDERAL FUNDS As of 3/1/2012
CMAQ		
FY 2009/10	\$0	Lapses October 1, 2012
FY 2010/11	\$2,628,628	Lapses October 1, 2013
FY 2011/12	\$9,687,247	Lapses October 1, 2014
TOTAL	\$12,315,875	
STP	₱ ₽ 720 400	Lawren Ostober 4, 2042
FY 2009/10	\$5,728,166	Lapses October 1, 2012
FY 2010/11 FY 2011/12	\$10,358,848 \$10,495,961	Lapses October 1, 2013 Lapses October 1, 2014
TOTAL	\$26,582,975	
TE	1	
FY 2011/12	\$202,000	Lapses July 1, 2012
TOTAL	\$202,000	

g:excel/cmaqstptealapse

Ventura County Upcoming STP / CMAQ / TE Projects Federal Fiscal Year 2011/12 (10/1/11 - 9/30/12)

ATTACHMENT B

PROJECT TITLE	Lead Agency	Date to be Obligated	Amount to be Obligated
STP			
<u>Unobligated Balance</u>			\$26,582,975
California Street / Route 101 Offramp PE Street Overlay PE Major Street Rehabilitation Hueneme Road Widening Ventura Boulevard Improvements Con Victoria Avenue Improvements Con Street Overlay CON	S.B. Ventura Fillmore Simi Valley Oxnard Oxnard Oxnard Fillmore	Nov-11 Nov-11 Nov-11 Dec-11 Jun-12 Jun-12 Aug-12	\$750,000 \$26,559 \$697,000 \$1,605,480 \$2,512,597 \$914,957 \$240,851
Total to be Obligated by 10/1/1 Required to be Obligated by 10/1/1			\$ 6,747,444 \$ 5,728,166
CMAQ			
<u>Unobligated Balance</u>			\$12,315,875
Sheridan Way Bike Path PE Hwy 126 Bike Path Ph 3 PE / ROW Route 101 at California Street Improvements Lynn Road Bike Lanes Replacement CNG Vehicles Calleguas Creek Bike Path Ph 3 Pole Creek Bikepath PE Calleguas Creek Bike Path Ph 4 PE Transit Garage Modernization Transit Maintenance Facility Expansion Bike Trail in Railroad ROW Lomita Avenue Bike Lanes Santa Clara Avenue Bike Lanes Con Oxnard Boulevard Bike Path Sheridan Way Bike Path Calleguas Creek Bike Path Ph 4 Con Regional Ridesharing	Ventura Ventura Ventura Ventura T.O. T.O. Camarillo Fillmore Camarillo Simi Valley Simi Valley Santa Paula County County Oxnard Ventura Camarillo VCTC	Apr-12 Apr-12 Apr-12 Apr-12 Apr-12 Apr-12 Apr-12 Apr-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jun-12 Jun-12	\$50,000 \$160,000 \$1,125,000 \$655,000 \$279,000 \$360,000 \$73,421 \$54,000 \$33,100 \$98,600 \$1,110,000 \$238,000 \$210,000 \$269,100 \$200,000 \$300,000 \$443,000
Total to be Obligated by 10/1/1 Required to be Obligated by 10/1/1			\$ 6,358,221 \$ 2,130,518
<u>TE</u>			
<u>Unallocated Balance</u>			\$202,000
10th Street Enhancements PE Thousand Oaks Transit Center Landscaping	Santa Paula T.O.	May-12 Jun-12	\$52,000 \$150,000
Total to be Allocated by 7/1/1 Total Required to be Allocated by 7/1/1		_	\$202,000 \$202,000
НВР			
<u>Unallocated Balance</u>			
Total to be Allocated by 7/1/1	2	=	\$0
HSIP			
<u>Unallocated Balance</u>			
Total to be Allocated by 7/1/1	2	_	\$0
<u>SR2S</u>			
<u>Unallocated Balance</u>			
Total to be Allocated by 7/1/1	2	-	\$0

		Prog.	TIP			Obligated		Project	Project milestone	Project
									&delivery	_
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount**	Phase	Balance	date	Status
	Ар	proved	TEA-21 STP	Program - Ob	ligated Pr	ojects as o	f 3/1/20	12		
Regional STP				_						
Ojai Trail Extension / Fulton										
Ext	Ojai	2002	07-VEN010203	\$439,000	9/15/1998	\$379,915	Con	\$59,085		
Total - Regional STP				\$439,000		\$379,915		\$59,085		
LOCAL STP										
Total				\$439,000		\$379,915		\$59,085		

	Appro	oved SA	AFETEA-LU ST	ΓP Program -	Obligated	l Projects a	s of 3/1	/2012		
Regional STP										
Route 101/ Central Landscaping Enhancement	Camarillo	2006	07-VEN050404	\$33,000	1/11/2012	\$33,000	PE		Design expected to be complete 6/13	
Landscaping Ermandement	Carrianiio	2000	OT VENUSUAUA	ψ00,000	1/11/2012	ψ55,000	1 -	ΨΟ	Shift fr	
Sta Rosa Rd @ Adolpho Intsec	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN050402	\$44,265			ROW	\$44,265	101/PV	
Sta Rosa Rd @ Adolpho Intsect Mod	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN050402	\$998,385	8/2/2011	\$ 1,042,000	Con	(\$43,615)		Constructio n underway
Sta Rosa Rd Widen Upland/Woodcrk	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN040502	\$1,870,277	5/14/2010	\$360,000	All	\$1,510,277		
opiana, vv oodon	Camarino	2001	OF VENOTOSOZ	φ1,010,211	3/14/2010	\$ 000,000	PE		Design expected to be complete 8/12	Design underway
							RW		RFA RW 1/12	Pending PES approval
							DES			
							CON		RFP Con 6/13	Pending ROW Approval

		Prog.	TIP			Obligated		Project	Project milestone &delivery	Project
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount**	Phase	Balance	date	Status
	A	oreal C	NEETE A LILO	FD Due sureus	Obligated	l Dunington	5 2 14	/2042		
O and and A and and a state of the state of	Appro	vea 5/	AFETEA-LU S	P Program -	Obligated	Projects a	S OT 3/1	/2012	T	T
Central Avenue Intersection	F :!!	0007	07.1/EN00047	# 000 000	44/5/0007	# 000 000	0	# 0		
Improve Traffic Signal 23 / River St	Fillmore	2007 2006	07-VEN93017 07-VEN031225	\$600,000 \$120,000	11/5/2007 11/5/2007	\$600,000 \$120,000	Con Con	\$0 \$0		
	Fillmore	2006	07-VENU31225	\$120,000	11/5/2007	\$120,000	Con	\$0		
Route 118 - Moorpark to e/o	Massussuls	0007	07.1/51/004040	# 700 770			0	# 700 770		
Spring	Moorpark	2007	07-VEN031219	\$796,770			Con	\$796,770		
Route 23 Widening High/Third	Moorpark	2009	07-VEN051213	\$1,500,000			Con	\$1,500,000		
S. Metrolink Parking Lot										
Access	Moorpark	2006	07-VEN031218	\$132,795			All	\$132,795		
							PE			
							RW			
							DES			
							CON			
E. Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	2006	07-VEN053403	\$53,000	6/28/2011	\$53,000	PE	\$0		
E. Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	2007	07-VEN053403	\$248,000			Con	\$248,000		
									Con from	
Hueneme Road Widening	Oxnard	2007	07-VEN34094	\$532,600			All	\$532,600	Demo.	
OTC 4th St. Parking										
Refurbishment	Oxnard	2005	07-VEN031223	\$143,000	5/27/2005	\$143,000	PE	\$0	Completed	Completed
Erringer Road Impr										
Royal/Fitzgerald	Simi Valley	2007	07-VEN055503	\$965,192	8/17/2007	\$964,692	Con	\$500		
Olson Road Widening	Simi Valley	2009	07-VEN051002	\$733,000	9/24/2009	\$717,503	Con	\$15,497		
				+,		, ,- ,-		+ -,	Construction	
Wendy Dr/Route 101 Int									awarded	Design
Improvemen	T.O.	2006	07-VEN056406	\$550,000	2/24/2009	\$550,000	PE	\$0	10/11	complete
			1. 12.1000100	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	_,,	+		Ψ0	Construction	20
Wendy Dr/Route 101 Int									awarded	Design
Improvemen	T.O.	2008	07-VEN056406	\$5,086,000			Con	\$5,086,000	10/11	complete
		2000	5. VENOCO400	ψο,οοο,οοο			00	ψο,οοο,οοο	10,11	Study
Countywide Transit Study	VCTC	2010	07-VEN34348	\$200,000		\$200,000		\$0		underway
Total - Regional STP		2010	5. VENOTOTO	\$14,606,284		\$4,783,195		\$9,823,089		andorway

									Project	
		Prog.	TIP			Obligated		Project	milestone	Project
									&delivery	
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date	Amount**	Phase	Balance	date	Status

	Appro	ved SA	AFETEA-LU S	TP Program -	Obligated	l Projects a	s of 3/1	1/2012		
Local STP						-				
Street Overlays Citywide	Ojai	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$0			Con	\$0	Trade to County	
Street Rehab/Overlay	Moorpark	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$595,923	8/8/2007	\$595,293	Con	\$630	Obl 11/07	
Street Overlay/Resurfacing/Rehab	Oxnard	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$3,031,487	8/23/2011	\$3,031,487	Con	\$0		
Pavement Rehabilitation	Pt Hueneme	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$562,165	8/23/2007	\$562,165	Con	\$0	Completed 5/08	
Street Rehabilitation/Reconstruction	S.B.Ventur	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$2,349,117	11/9/2005	\$2,038,390	Con	\$310,727		
Street Repaving	Santa Paula		07-VEN54032	\$686,217	3/24/2007	\$658,257	Con	\$27,960		
Street Rehabilitation	Simi Valley	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$2,447,223	5/24/2005	\$2,447,424	Con	(\$201)		
Pavement Rehabilitation	County	04-09	07-VEN54032	\$5,921,687	4/15/2006	\$5,909,469	Con	\$12,218		
Total - STP Local				\$15,593,819		\$15,242,485		\$351,334		
Total - STI Local				ψ10,393,019		ψ13,242,403		ψ331,334		

	App	roved	STP Mini-Call	Pr	ogram - Pr	oject Obli	ga	tions as	of 3/1/2	012	2	
												Constructio
Adolfo Road Intersection Toll C	Camarillo	2011	07-VEN050402	\$	135,002	8/2/2011		\$135,000	Con	\$	2	n underway
Street Overlay	Fillmore	Nov-11	07-VEN54032	\$	26,559				PE	\$	26,559	
Street Overlay	Fillmore	Aug-12	07-VEN54032	\$	240,851				Con	\$	240,851	
Pavement Rehab Toll Credit	Oxnard	2011	07-VEN54032	\$	452,015	4/22/2011	\$	452,015	Con	\$	-	
Hueneme Road Widening	Oxnard	2012	07-VEN34094	\$	1,754,240				Con	\$	1,754,240	
Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-11	07-VEN053403	\$	125,713	6/28/2011	\$	126,000	PE	\$	(287)	
Ventura Blvd Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-12	07-VEN053403	\$	3,279,697				Con	\$	3,279,697	
Victoria Avenue Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-11	07-VEN110112	\$	58,873	12/13/2011	\$	58,873	PE/Con	\$	-	

		Prog.	TIP				Ob	oligated		Project	Project milestone	Project
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number		Funding	Date		nount**	Phase	Balance	&delivery date	Status
Victoria Avenue Improvements	Oxnard	Dec-12	07-VEN110112	\$	914,957					\$ 914,957		
Fulton Street Extension Toll Cre	Ojai	2011	07-VEN010203	\$	54,037				PE/Con	\$ 54,037		
Street Rehabilitation	Port Huener	Oct-11 Dec-12	07-VEN54032	\$	370,000				PE/Con	\$ 370,000	12/12	Not started
California Street / 101 Off Ramp	S.B.Ventura	Jul-11	07-VEN010202	\$	750,000				PE	\$ 750,000		Waiting for Coop
Major Street Rehabilitation	Simi Valley	Jul-11	07-VEN54032	\$	697,000				Con	\$ 697,000		Submitted to CT in June.
Wendy Drive / 101 Improvemen	T.O.	Sep-11	07-VEN056406	\$	4,999,100				Con	\$ 4,999,100	Construction on 6/11 awarded 1/12	Design complete
Wendy Drive / 101 Toll Credit	T.O.	Sen-11	07-VEN056406	\$	658,945				Con	\$ 658,945	Construction on 6/11 awarded	Design complete
TOTAL	1.0.	Oeb-11	07 - V LINU30400	\$	14,516,989		\$	771,888	5011	\$ 13,745,101	1/12	complete
*Obligated amount = Funds app	proved by FH	WA on A	uthorization to Pr	٠		form, or app		,	n grant a			

	၂၂၀	Prog.	TIP		CMAQ						Project		Project
Project Name	Agency	Year	Number		Funding	Date		Obligated Amount*	Phase		Balance	Project milestone & delivery date	Status
Approved TEA-21 CMAQ Pro	ogram - P	roject (Obligations as	s o	f 3/1/12								
Rancho Camulos RR & Bike Path	County	2001	07-VEN990310		265,000	8/29/2001	\$	265,000	PE/ROV	\$	-		Underway
Rancho Camulos RR & Bike Path	County	2002	07-VEN990310	\$	2,735,000		\$		CON	\$	672,539		Underway
Main Street Intermodal Center	Fillmore	2000	07-VEN54167	\$	213,000	7/30/2002	\$	213,000	CON	\$	-		
Bike/Pedestrian/Equestrian Trail	Ojai	2004	07-VEN54164	\$	130,100	7/17/2004	\$	17,706	CON	\$	112,394	Completion expected 2013	
Bike/Ped Oxnard & 5th	Oxnard	2002	07-VEN990317	\$	960,000	9/12/2001		960,000	All	\$	-	Completion expected 2012	
									PE			2012	
									PSE				
									R/W				
									CON				
Signal Synchron Citywide	Oxnard	2001	07-VEN000609	\$	190,000	6/13/2001	\$	89,689	CON	\$	100,311		
Calif. Street Bridge Upgrade	S.B. Ventura	2004	07-VEN990319		329,000	0/10/2001	Ψ	00,000	CON	\$		Completion expected 2012	Beyond TEA-21
SR 126 Bike Path	S.B.	2003	07-VEN990320	¢	632,500	7/1/2011	Φ	632,500	CON	\$	_	2012	Beyond TEA-21
	Ventura			·	,		Ċ	,		Ĺ			Ready to adv
Bike Trail Along ROW	Santa Paula	2004	07-VEN54168	\$	970,000	8/10/2001	\$	970,000		\$	-		Completed 2/2012
Santa Paula Recreational Trail	Santa Paula	2004	07-VEN54168	\$	800,000	1/26/2010	\$	800,000	CON	\$	-		Completed 2/2012
Traffic Signal Coordination Exp.	T.O.	2001	07-VEN990331	\$	30,000	8/28/2000	\$	30,000	PE	\$	_	Construction	
·	T.O.	2002	07-VEN990331	\$	120,000	10/28/2003	\$	120,000		\$	-	completion 8/11	Awarded 1/25/11
	T.O.	2002	07-VEN990332	\$	240,000	11/7/2003	\$	203,333	All	\$	36.667	Construction completion 8/11	Awarded 1/25/11
Totals					7,614,600		\$			\$	1,250,911	·	
Totalo				Ψ	7,014,000		Ψ.	0,000,000		Ť	1,200,011		
Approved SAFTEA-LU CMA	Q Prograr	n - Pro	ject Obligatio	ns	as of 3/1/	12							
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph II (Adolfo to South side of US-101)		,										Construction expected to begin	Construction
(, lasing to count side of oc 101)	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN050403	\$	1,758,306	5/9/2006	\$	1,758,306	All	\$	-	12/11	underway
Pole Creek Undercrossing	Fillmore	2009	07-VEN051401	\$	664,906				All	\$	664,906	Delayed to '10	
Interconnect Signal Cable	Oxnard	2007	07-VEN053401	\$	398,385	8/23/2007	я.	335,559	PE/Con	\$	62,826	2014/04 10 10	
Oxnard Blvd Bike/Ped Path	Oxnard	2006	07-VEN990317	\$	2,446,530	5,25,2551	۳	223,000	All		2,446,530		
California Street Improvements	S.B.	2000	3. 12.1000017	Ψ	_,				CON	<u> </u>	_,0,000	Completion expected	
•	Ventura	2006	07-VEN990319	\$	796,000				.,	\$	796,000	2012	
Bicycle Trail Construction	Santa Paula	2007	07-VEN54168	\$	1,856,811	1/26/2010	\$	1,856,211	All	\$	600		Completed 2/2012

	cy	Prog.	TIP		CMAQ					Project		Project
Project Name	Agency	Flog.	HIF		CIVIAQ		Obligated		'	riojeci	Project milestone &	Project
-	Α̈́	Year	Number	F	unding	Date	Amount*	Phase	ı	Balance	delivery date	Status
Green Alley Paseo / Parking Lot	Santa							CON				
	Paula	2005	07-VEN031215	\$	244,619	12/20/2005	\$ 244,619		\$	-		
Arroyo Simi Bike Path	Simi							CON				
	Valley	2006	07-VEN031205	\$	178,000	8/11/2011	\$ 178,000		\$	-	Jul-12	
W.L.A. Ave Widening for Bike Lanes								CON			_	
	Valley	2009	07-VEN051201	\$	1,814,000	7/11/2011	\$ 1,814,000		\$	-	Dec-11	
Hillcrest Drive Bike Path											Construction	Design
	T.O.	2008	07-VEN056407	\$	250,000			PE/Con	\$	250,000	award 12/11	underway
Lynn Road Bike Lanes								CON			Construction	Design
	T.O.	2007	07-VEN090503	\$	655,000				\$	655,000	award 12/11	underway
Lynn Road Traffic Signal								CON			Construction	Construction
Coordination	T.O.		07-VEN056403		400,000	2/29/2008			\$	6,758	completion 8/11	awarded 1/25/11
Bike Map Update	VCTC		07-VEN54151	\$	55,000	6/18/2009	55,000		\$	-		
Camarillo Station Improvements	VCTC		07-VEN990303		3,100,000	2/21/2004		CON	\$	-		
Guaranteed Ride Home Program	VCTC	2004	07-VEN54151	\$	40,000	10/28/2003	\$ 40,000	CON	\$	-		
La Conchita Ped UC Design											Incorporated Into	
											Hwy 101 HOV lane	
	VCTC		07-VEN991101	\$	382,000	4/1/2005			\$	32,000	project	
Lewis Road Bikelanes 101/Huen	VCTC		07-VEN040501		7,764,000	8/2/2005	\$ 7,764,000	CON	\$	-		
Regional Ridesharing	VCTC	2011	07-VEN54151	\$	443,000				\$	443,000	obligated	
Totals				\$ 2	3,246,557		\$ 17,888,937		\$	5,357,620		
Approved CMAQ Mini-Call P	rogram -	Project	t Obligations	as c	of 3/1/201	2						
											Construction	
											expected to begin	Construction
Calleguas Cr Bike Ph II Toll Credit	Camarillo	2011	07-VEN050403	\$	191,225	7/13/2011	\$189,423	Con	\$	1,802	12/11	underway
											Design expected to	
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph III	Camarillo	Dec-11	07-VEN110105	\$	360,000	1/11/2012	\$54,000	Con	\$	306,000	be complete 7/12	Design underway
												In-process of
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph IV	Camarillo	Mar-11	07-VEN110106	\$	54,000			PE	\$	54,000	be complete 12/12	submitting RFA for PE
											Construction	
												In-process of
Calleguas Creek Bike Trail Ph IV	Camarillo	Jul-12	07-VEN110106	\$	300,000			Con	\$	300,000	3/13	submitting RFA for PE
											Completion expected	
Pole Creek Bike Path Toll Credit	Fillmore	2011	07-VEN051401	\$	77,724			Con	\$	77,724	2012	
											Completion expected	
Moorpark Metrolink Station Entrance	Moorpark	Jul-11	07-VEN031218	\$	449,450	1/5/2012	\$ 449,205	ROW	\$	245	2014	

	<u> </u>						Г						
	ညင	Prog.	TIP		CMAQ					F	Project		Project
Project Name	Agency	Year	Number	F	unding	Date		Obligated Amount*	Phase	E	Balance	Project milestone & delivery date	Status
Hwy 126 Harmon Barranca Bike	S.B.Vent											Completion expected	
Path	ura	Jul-11	07-VEN031229	\$	1,215,553	7/1/2011	\$	1,215,553	Con	\$	-	2012	
	S.B.Vent												
Hwy 126 Harmon Toll Credit	ura	2011	07-VEN031229	\$	81,947	7/1/2011	\$	81,947	Con	\$	-		Ready to adv
	S.B.Vent											Completion expected	
Calif Street Impr Toll Credit	ura	2011	07-VEN990319	\$	145,756				Con	\$	145,756	2012	
Approved CMAQ Mini-Call Pr	ogram -	Project	Obligations	as (of 3/1/201	2							
	S.B.Vent												
Hwy 126 Bike Path Gap Closure	ura	Jul-11	07-VEN031230	\$	100,000				PE	\$	100,000		
	S.B.Vent												
Hwy 126 Bike Path Gap Closure	ura	Jul-13	07-VEN031230	\$	60,000				ROW	\$	60,000		
	S.B.Vent												
Hwy 126 Bike Path Gap Closure	ura	Jul-14	07-VEN031230	\$	840,000				Con	\$	840,000		
Sheridan Wy/Ventura River Bike	S.B.Vent												
Trail	ura	Jul-12	07-VEN110304	\$	50,000				PE	\$	50,000		
Sheridan Wy/Ventura River Bike	S.B.Vent												
Trail	ura	Jul-13	07-VEN110304	\$	200,000				ROW	\$	200,000		
	Santa												
Bike Trail in Railroad ROW	Paula	Jul-05	07-VEN111102	\$	1,110,000					\$	1,110,000		
	Simi												
West L.A. Bike Lane Toll Credit	Valley	2011	07-VEN051201	\$	172,056	7/11/2011	\$	172,056	Con	\$	-	Dec-12	
	Simi												
Arroyo Simi Bike Path Toll Credit	Valley		07-VEN031205		22,932	8/11/2011			Con	\$	-	Jul-12	
Regional Ridesharing / GRH	VCTC	2011	07-VEN93017	\$	443,000	7/13/2011	\$	443,000		\$	-		
	Vta			_					_	_			
Ojai Bike Trail Bridge	County	2012	07-VEN110104	\$	190,000	8/15/2011	\$	190,000	Con	\$	-		Completed 3/2012
0 . 0 . 5	Vta					- / / /-				_			
Santa Clara Bike Lanes Central/118	•	Dec-11	07-VEN110303	\$	60,000	6/28/2011	\$	60,000	PE	\$	-		
Conto Cloro Diko I Conto 1/440	Vta	0040	07.1/51/440000	Φ.	040 000				0	φ.	040.000		
Santa Clara Bike Lanes Central/118		2013	07-VEN110303	\$	910,000				Con	\$	910,000		
Lomito Diko Doutes	Vta	Da = 44	07 \/EN440000	Φ.	00 000					φ.	00.000		
Lomita Bike Routes	County Vta	Dec-11	07-VEN110306	Ъ	26,000				PE	\$	26,000		
Lomita Bike Routes	County	lun 10	07 \/ENI440000	Ф	242 000				Con	φ	242.000		
TOTAL	County	Jun-12	07-VEN110306		212,000		4	0.070.440	Con	\$	212,000		
					7,271,643			2,878,116	<u> </u>		1,393,527		
*Obligated amount = Funds approved	by FHWA	on Auth	orization to Proce	eed	(FNM-76) to	rm, or approv	/ed	by FTA in gr	ant appli	catio	n.		

Approved SAFETEA-LU Program - Obligations as of 3/1/12

		Prog.	TIP	TEA	c	Oblig	gations			Project	Project milestone	Project
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number	Funding	Date		Amount	Phase	ı	Balance	&delivery date	Status
											Design	
Route 101/Central											completion	Design funded
Landscaping Enh	Camarillo	2007	07-VEN050404	\$ 498,000				Con	\$	498,000	6/13	w/ STP
Surfers Point Bike												
Path Restoration	S.B. Ventura	2008	07-VEN990336	\$ 1,500,000	12/10/2009	9	\$1,500,000	Con	\$	-		Close-out
Santa Paula Bike												
Path	Santa Paula	2010	07-VEN54168	\$ 479,000	6/30/2010	\$	479,000	Con	\$	-		Under constr
TOTAL				\$ 2,477,000		\$	1,979,000		\$	498,000		

Approved Mini-Call Program - Obligations as of 3/1/12

		Prog.	TIP		TEA	C	Oblig	gations		Р	roject	Project milestone	
Project Name	Applicant	Year	Number		Funding	Date	,	Amount	Phase	В	alance	&delivery date	Project Status Details
Lewis Road Landscaping	Camarillo	2013	07-VEN110114	\$	780,000				Con	\$	780,000	Design compl 5/13 Design	Design underway Additional
Ponderosa Drive Landscaping	Camarillo	2013	07-VEN110305	\$	1,017,000				Con	\$1,	,017,000		funding requested
Ponderosa Drive Landscaping Ph II Piru/Camulos Bike	Camarillo	2013	07-VEN110307	\$	400,000				Con	\$	400,000	Con begin 11/12	Pending design from Ventura County
Trail Surfers Point Bike	County	2012	07-VEN990310	\$	479,000	1/25/2012	\$	479,000	Con	\$	-		Ready to Adv
Trail Tenth Street	S.B. Ventura Santa Paula		07-VEN990341 07-VEN110113		250,000				DE/Cor		250,000		Coop
Improvements Transportation Center Landscaping	T.O.	-	07-VEN110113	ľ	600,000 150,000				PE/Cor Con		600,000 150,000	Jnder desig	Coop n
TOTAL				\$	3,676,000		\$	479,000) A FETT		,197,000		

NOTE: Funds programmed under "TEA-21" included monies that were actually pre-programmed from "SAFETEA-LU."

<u>Legend</u> ALL= PE, PSE, R/W, CON PE = Preliminary engineering & Environmental clearances

PSE= Plans Specifications & Estimate

R/W= Right of Way Clearance

CON= Construction



Item #6

March 15, 2012

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FROM: STEPHANIE YOUNG, PROGRAM ANALYST

SUBJECT: DISTRIBUTION OF TTAC BYLAWS FOR REVIEW

RECOMMENDATION:

· Receive attached bylaws for discussion of possible revisions at next month's meeting.

BACKGROUND:

At the February 2012 meeting, TTAC included review of the TTAC bylaws on the list of future agenda items. A copy of the bylaws is attached (ATTACHMENT A) so that possible revisions can be discussed at the April 2012 meeting. Please bring your suggestions to the meeting. Though this copy of the bylaws is unsigned, staff believes that these are the bylaws that were approved by the Commission in 1992. Also attached is the amendment decreasing the TTAC quorum from 8 to 6 members and the minutes from the Commission meeting approving that amendment (ATTACHMENT B).

If TTAC decides to propose changes to the bylaws, an amendment will be presented to the Commission at a future meeting.

VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE OPERATING RULES

I. PURPOSE OF THE COMMITTEE

The Transportation Technical Advisory Committee (TTAC) is a duly constituted Transportation Advisory Committee formed at the direction of the Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC). Member agencies shall serve at the pleasure of the Commission. The VCTC is the sole policy board of the TTAC. The purpose of the Committee is to act as an advisory body to the VCTC for purposes of reviewing countywide transportation issues, preparing the local agency component of the regional transportation improvement program, and making recommendations to the VCTC regarding various transportation issues.

II. MEMBERSHIP

The Agencies which are members of the TTAC shall be as shown on Exhibit A. Agencies shall each appoint one representative, and representatives may designate alternates.

III. METHOD OF APPOINTMENT

The representatives to this Committee shall be appointed, reappointed or removed by the representatives appointing agency. All representatives shall serve at the pleasure of the appointing entities. The TTAC may recommend additions or deletion of member agencies shown on Exhibit A, but all changes in member Agencies are subject to confirmation by the VCTC.

IV. TERM OF OFFICE

The term of office of membership of each agency on the TTAC shall be for an indeterminate period of time at the discretion of the VCTC.

V. OFFICERS OF THE COMMITTEE

The officers of this committee shall be Chairperson and Vice Chairperson who shall be selected from among the representatives by majority vote at the January meeting each year and shall serve in their respective capacities at the pleasure of the Committee. If the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson resign, new officers shall be selected as soon as possible.

VI. DUTIES OF OFFICERS

Chairperson

The Chairperson of this Committee shall be responsible for:

- 1. The calling for meetings of this committee.
- 2. Presiding at the meetings of this committee.
- 3. Assisting with agenda preparation.
- 4. Reporting to the VCTC when called upon to do so.
- Establishing subcommittees as necessary.
- 6. Other duties as he/she may be directed by the VCTC and this committee to perform.

Vice Chairperson

The Vice Chairperson of this committee shall serve in the absence of the Chair and perform such duties as he/she may be directed by the Chair to perform.

VII. DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE COMMITTEE

It shall be the duty and responsibility of the Committee to:

- 1. Serve as the Technical Advisory Committee for the VCTC.
- 2. Share any and all pertinent information relating to the field of transportation.
- 3. Review documents and make recommendations to the VCTC regarding transportation improvement programs and projects.
- 4. Serve as a forum to discuss the technical aspects of countywide transportation issues, and formulate recommendations for consideration by VCTC.
- 5. Annual review of the Congestion Management Program update.
- 6. Other such duties as may be requested by the VCTC.

VIII. SECRETARY OF THE TTAC

The staff of the VCTC shall serve as the secretary of the TTAC and shall provide staff support, including preparation of the minutes of each meeting.

IX. QUORUM

Eight of the representatives appointed to this Committee shall constitute a quorum for conducting Committee activities.

X. COMMITTEE ACTIONS

All actions on items before the TTAC shall be determined by a vote of a simple majority of the members present.

XI. MEETINGS

Normal meetings of the TTAC, unless otherwise changed by the TTAC, shall be held the third Thursday of the month at 9:00 A.M., Suite 207 in the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District Conference Room, 702 County Square Drive, Ventura, at least six times per year. All meetings shall be called, noticed and conducted in the manner described in Section 54952.3 of the Government Code, also known as the "Brown Act".

XII. AMENDMENTS TO OPERATING RULES

The TTAC may recommend, and the VCTC may approve amendments to the Operating Rules by a majority vote.

XIII. VOTING

Each member agency has one vote. In the absence of a representative, the designated alternate may vote in the representative's place.

XIV. POWERS

The TTAC is created by the VCTC and shall have no powers of existence separate or apart from that of the Commission.

Approved by Ventura County Transportation Commission	, 1992.

AFK-016:sa

Attachment - Exhibit A

VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (VCTC) TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TTAC) LIST OF VOTING MEMBER AGENCIES

- 1. Each of the cities in Ventura County
- 2. The County of Ventura
- 3. The cities of Agoura Hills and Westlake Village
- 4. Ventura County Department of Airports
- 5. Oxnard Harbor District
- 6. South Coast Area Transit
- 7. Ventura County Air Pollution Control District
- 8. Caltrans
- 9. California Highway Patrol
- 10. Southern Pacific Transportation Company
- 11. Ventura County Railway Company



-99-

VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

950 County Square Drive, Suite 207 Ventura, CA 93003

> (805) 642-1591 FAX (805) 642-4860 http://www.goventura.org

Item No. 13(b) Action

TO:

VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

(1-7-00 MEETIG)

FROM:

VCTC STAFF

SUBJECT:

REVISION TO TTAC OPERATING RULES

RECOMMENDATION

 The VCTC revise the operating rules for the TTAC to revise its membership and quorum requirements.

DISCUSSION

During the October TTAC meeting the group briefly discussed the representatives and the alternates for this committee, as some of the agencies that are listed in the TTAC Operating Rules have not been regularly attending the meetings. It was suggested that this list be updated. A letter was mailed on October 22, 1999 to all members of the committee requesting an update of the names of their representatives and alternates. Attached is a list of the responses received with the representative and alternate names. The agencies that did not respond are also shown in this list.

The non-respondents were Oxnard Harbor District, Department of Airports, City of Agoura Hills, City of Westlake, Southern Pacific Transportation Company (currently known as Union Pacific) & Ventura County Railway Company. The non-respondent agencies have not attended meetings for some time. At its December 16, 1999 meeting, the committee voted to recommend to VCTC that: 1) the non-respondents listed above be dropped from the TTAC membership; and 2) the defined quorum be reduced from eight to six members. Given the lack of participation by these members over the past several years, VCTC supports the TTAC recommendation. However, VCTC staff intends to keep these functional agencies on the mailing list so that they are informed and can participate as non-members when topics of interest to them are on the TTAC agenda.

ATTACHMENT A Item #13(b)

LIST OF TTAC REPRESENTATIVES & ALTERNATES AS OF NOVEMBER 1999

AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE ALTERNATE

County of Ventura Art Goulet Butch Britt

City of Camarillo Tom Fox Dan Greeley

City of Fillmore Bert Rapp Tom Scott

City of Moorpark Mike Mathews 1-Ken Gilbert 2-Other city empl. 1

.

City of Ojai Stan Haakes Glen Hawks²

City of Oxnard Bow Bowman Rita Johnson²

City of Port Hueneme Cliff Finley Mamerto Estapa²

City of San Buenaventura Rick Raives Tom Mericle

City of Santa Paula Norman Wilkinson Kenneth Ortega

City of Simi Valley Chic Dabbs Hank Hein

City of Thousand Oaks John Heliwell 1-Tom Pizza 2-Don Nelson

2-2011 11015011

Caltrans Satish Chander Denix Anbiah

Ventura County APCD Ben Cacatian None

SCAG Jim Gosnell Alan Bowser

SCAT Laura J. Caskey None

Oxnard Harbor District Department of Airports City of Agoura Hills City of Westlake Southern Pacific Ventura County Railway



TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

950 County Square Drive, Suite 207 Ventura, CA 93003

> Item #9(£)05) 642-1591 ACTTO (905) 642-4860 http://www.goventura.org

MINUTES

VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION AIRPORT LAND USE COMMISSION

SERVICE AUTHORITY FOR FREEWAY EMERGENCIES CONSOLIDATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AGENCY CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AGENCY

> Friday, January 7, 2000 10:30 a.m.

Camarillo City Hall Council Chambers

Members Present:

Susan Lacey

Frank Schillo
Bill Davis
Robert Turner
John Wozniak
Nancy Grasmehr
Robert Sassaman

Alternates Present:

Tom Holden

Don Gunderson

Joe Kem

Others Present:

Bow Bowman, City of Oxnard

William Fraser, Ventura Bicycle Coalition Tony Colella, Caltrans Ventura Satellite Office

Rick Raives, City of Ventura Dave Webb, Ventura CHP Mark Wareham, Keller CMS

Corliss Christiansen, Supervisor Schillo Kathy Connell, County of Ventura Rita Johnson, City of Oxnard John Brand, City of Moorpark

Laura Magelnicki, City of Simi Valley

Laura J. Caskey, SCAT

AJ Farrar, Fillmore & Western Railway Court Eilertson, City of Camarillo

Staff Present:

Ginger Gherardi Chris Stephens

Maureen Hooper Lopez

Pete DeHaan Samia Maximous Steve De George Sally Sabin Sue Munday Donna Cole Connie Lewis

Mary Gayle, Legal Counsel

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 10:35 am by Susan Lacey.

Roll Call

Appoint Budget Committee

Commissioners Lacey, Davis and Turner were appointed to the Budget Committee to review the Mid-Year Budget adjustment.

Public Comments for those items not listed.

There were no comments for items not listed.

Commissioner's Reports

Commissioner Turner, reported on his participation at SCAG meetings regarding state gas tax.

Caltrans Report

Robert Sassaman reported that Caltrans is Y2K OK. They had plenty of staff to make sure things went smoothly.

Executive Director's Report

On December 15, 1999 the Cities Selection Committee appointed Moorpark Councilman John Wozniak as a regular member of VCTC, moved Councilman Tom Holden, Oxnard to 1st Alternate, moved Councilman Don Gunderson, Fillmore to 2nd Alternate and selected Dennis Gillette, Mayor of Thousand Oaks as the new VCTC 3rd Alternate. Mayor Gillette was unable to attend today's meeting. The Cities Selection Committee did not select a new Alternate Public Member but asked the cities to consider candidates and agreed to meet in another month or so to make the appointment.

VCTC will need to have a Finance Committee meeting sometime in late January to consider the Mid-Year Budget adoption. Normally the Finance Committee consists of the Chair, Vice Chair and one other Commissioner. With Jack Tingstrom no longer in office, the Vice Chair is filling in until regularly scheduled VCTC elections are held in February. Therefore, the Vice Chair will need to appoint two members to serve on the Finance Committee this month.

As a "heads up" it is expected that the February VCTC meeting will be quite lengthy since we will have the hearing to certify the EIR and Master Plan for the Santa Paula Branch Line Trail. The Commission received copies of the Draft EIR in October and will receive the final comments and responses in about two weeks. If any Commissioner needs another copy of the Draft, please let Chris Stephens know.

Also, as an FYI, Dana Reed is now the Chairman of the California Transportation Commission and will, therefore, be presiding when the CTC holds its meeting in Ventura in August.

The Callbox system upgrade, which included Y2K compliance upgrades was completed prior to December 31st and the system is running smoothly. However, we are currently evalutating the TTY/TDD's for potential upgrades to ensure compatibility with the upgraded Callboxes. Staff should have a report on that issue to the Board next month.

In Wednesday's "State of the State" address, Governor Davis proposed \$7.5 billion for transportation next year, including \$3 billion of "new funds". The Governor also stated that \$1.7 billion of local funds would be taken back and reprogrammed if they were not obligated by July 31". Although no details of this proposal are available yet and we are not sure precisely what local money is proposed for reprogramming, we expect that Ventura County's STP and CMAQ funding should not be affected since

we are spending our dollars. Nonetheless, VCTC staff will work with all the public works agencies to verify the status of all Ventura County projects and we expect to bring a report on this to the Commission at the February meeting.

Finally, please make sure to let me know right after this meeting if you have any suggested changes on the Highway 118 poll or would like to have us schedule an appointment for you with Richard Maullin, our consultant.

Agenda Review

Commissioner Grasmehr requested that Items #9(b), 9(c) and 9(g) be pulled for discussion.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Commissioner Grasmehr made a motion to approve the following items as presented:

Approve VCTC Minutes of December 3, 1999 Regular Meeting (Item #9(a))

Receive and File Legislative Update (Item #9(d))

Approve VCTC/SAFE Financial Statements (Item #9(e))

Receive & File VISTA Schedule Change Report (Item #9(f))

Receive & File Status of Camarillo Metrolink Station Platform (Item #9(h))

Receive & File AB 120 Report (Item #9(i))

Receive & File Status of Recreational Trail Plan/EIR Process (Item #9(j))

Approve SAFE Contract Extension (Item #9(k))

The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis and passed by a unanimous roll call vote.

Approve Monthly Budget Report (Item #9(b))

Commissioner Schillo requested clarification on an item, then made a motion to approve the report. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Grasmehr and passed unanimously.

Receive and File Metrolink Update (Item #9(c))

Commissioner Grasmehr expressed her objection to the proposed 4% fare increase. Commissioner Davis assured her and the Commission that he would oppose the increase. Commissioner Grasmehr made a motion to receive and file the report. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Schillo and passed unanimously.

Approve Article 3 Bicycle Criteria & Schedule (Item #9(g))

Public Comment

Bill Fraser, Ventura County Bicycle Coalition, said that the coalition is involved in bike and pedestrian safety. There are clubs are in Oxnard and Westlake who ride throughout the county. They would like an opportunity to monitor and watch upcoming programs. He mentioned that on the trail from West End Park to Foster Park the traffic is getting heavy.

Commissioner Grasmehr made a motion to approve the revised schedule for allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 bicycle/pedestrian facility grant funds. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis and passed unanimously.

Approve Smartcard Contract With Motorola/Prodata (Item #10)

Commissioner Schillo said that we need to discuss how to streamline bus service and provide more frequent bus service. Ginger Gherardi said that these issues would be addressed at future VISTA meetings.

Commissioner Davis made a motion to authorize the Executive Director to execute a contract with Motorola/Prodata for the design and installation of a Smartcard System in an amount not to exceed \$1,700,000, including any and all taxes. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Wozniak and passed by a unanimous roll call vote.

Approve Plans and Specs for East Fillmore Grade Crossing (Item #11(a))

Commissioner Davis made a motion to approve the plans and specifications of the East Fillmore Grade Crossing and authorize staff to advertise for bids. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Grasmehr, and passed unanimously.

Approve STIP Amendment - Camarillo Metrolink Station (Item #11(b))

Commissioner Davis made a motion to approve a STIP Amendment to transfer \$750,000 of the regional STIP funds not needed for the Route 126 Victoria Avenue Soundwall project to the Camarillo Metrolink Station Improvement Project, with \$450,000 programmed for the Camarillo Station Improvement (Phase One) Project and \$300,000 programmed as CMAQ match for the Camarillo Station Expansion (Phase Two) project. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Wozniak, and passed by a unanimous roll call vote.

Approve Camarillo Public Art Contract (Item #11(c))

Commissioner Wozniak made a motion to authorize the Executive Director to enter into a contract with Jessica Cusik to manage implementation of the Camarillo Metrolink Station Enhancement Project, at a cost not to exceed \$16,500. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis, and passed by a unanimous roll call vote.

Approve Montalvo Wye Leases (Item #11(d))

Commissioner Turner made a motion to authorize the Executive Director to sign a lease with Union Pacific Railroad for track in Montalvo between the Coast Main Line and Santa Paula Branch Line for an annual total cost not to exceed \$12,000. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis and passed by a unanimous roll call vote.

Approve Port Access Study Contract (Item #12(a))

Commissioner Davis made a motion to approve and authorize the Executive Director to execute an agreement with Wilbur Smith Associates to complete a port truck traffic study for an amount not to exceed \$105,000. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Turner and passed by a unanimous roll call vote.

Receive & File SB45 Quarterly Report (Item #12(b))

Commissioner Grasmehr made a motion to receive and file the report. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis, and passed unanimously.

Approve Annual Contract with VCOG (Item #13(a))

Commissioner Schillo made a motion to approve and authorize the Executive Director to execute the contract with VCOG for FY 2000 OWP transportation planning activities. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis, and passed by a unanimous roll call vote.

Approve TTAC Operations Bylaw Revision (Item #13(b))

Commissioner Turner made a motion to revise the operating rules for the TTAC to revise its membership and quorum requirements. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Davis, and passed unanimously.



March 15, 2012

Item #8

MEMO TO: TRANSIT OPERATORS COMMITTEE

FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: REVISIONS TO VENTURA SURFERS POINT BIKE PATH FUNDS

RECOMMENDATION:

 Approve replacing \$250,000 of Transportation Enhancement funds for the Ventura Surfers Point Bike Path with \$250,000 in STP funds.

BACKGROUND:

At the November meeting TTAC approved programming funds for various cost increases to previously-approved projects, with this approval including \$250,000 in TE funds for cost overruns for the repair of the Surfers Point Bike Path.

Unlike STP and CMAQ funds, TE funds pass through the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and therefore are subject to CTC programming policies and allocation. CTC staff has clarified that once TE funds are allocated, locally-administered projects are not eligible to receive additional funds for cost increases. Staff therefore recommends that the cost increase be funded instead with STP, which is not subject to the STIP allocation policies. Fortunately, due to the various amounts of funds requested for projects in the Mini Call for Projects if all recommended projects are funded there still remains a \$351,000 balance, although this difference is within the uncertainty of the revenue estimate. Commission staff recognizes the strong demand for STP funds, but recognizing the relatively small amount of funds being shifted and the fact that these funds can be allocated quickly, staff recommends the Committee approve this request.