

# **AGENDA**

# TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TTAC) Thursday, April 16, 2015, 9:00 a.m. Camarillo City Hall, Administrative Conference Room 601 Carmen Drive, Camarillo, CA

Item #1 CALL TO ORDER

Item #2 PUBLIC COMMENTS

Item #3 MARCH 19, 2015 MEETING MINUTES – PG. 2

• Approve the March 19, 2015 meeting minutes.

Item #4 CALTRANS LOCAL ASSISTANCE UPDATES

• Receive updates from Caltrans Local Assistance staff.

#### Item #5 CMAQ CALL FOR PROJECTS – PG. 4

- Recommend the Commission program \$6,638,054 of CMAQ funds to the transit projects prioritized "above the line" in the attachment.
- Recommend the Commission approve the shelf list of \$4,759,838 for the projects in the attachment above the shelf list cut off, should the funds become available in FY 2015/16 or before, with the stipulation that TTAC need not be consulted again if projects are approved in order from the shelf list.

#### Item #6 FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Periodic Highway Construction Updates

Regional Transportation Funding & Planning

**Congestion Management Program** 

ATP Cycle Two Process

Advancement of State Highway Projects

#### Item #7 ADJOURNMENT

# MINUTES OF THE VENTURA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

March 19, 2015

#### 1. Call to Order

Chairperson Tom Mericle called the meeting to order at 9:11 a.m. The following people were present (an asterisk represents voting Member Agencies):

Fred Bral	Caltrans	Mazen Dabboussi	Caltrans
Morris Zarbi	Caltrans	Omar Elkassed	Caltrans
Robert Wong	Caltrans	Ken Matsuoka	Camarillo*
Thang Tran	Camarillo	Carlos Hernandez	Coh & Associates
Dave Klotzle	Moorpark*	Greg Grant	Ojai*
Soher Abdelmalik	Oxnard*	John Ilasin	Santa Paula*
Joseph Briglio	SCAG	Cliff Finley	Thousand Oaks*
Mike Tohidian	Thousand Oaks	Tom Mericle	Ventura*
Ben Emami	Ventura County	David Fleisch	Ventura County*
Ben Cacatian	VCAPCD	Kara Elam	VCTC
Peter De Haan	VCTC		

#### 2. Public Comments

No public comments were made.

#### 3. February 19, 2015 Meeting Minutes – Action

Two corrections to the February 19, 2015 meeting minutes were identified; within item 7, Rio Real Elementary in El Rio was incorrectly identified as "El Rio Real Elementary" and the city of Ojai is intending to combine two projects into one ATP application, not two ATP projects/applications. David Fleisch moved to approve the February 19, 2015 meeting minutes, as corrected. Cliff Finely seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously.

#### 4. Caltrans Local Assistance Updates

Omar Elkassed noted that a TIGER project call will occur in the next few months; projects should have NEPA completion (or near to NEPA completion) and connectivity components should be included. Omar Elkassed further noted that projects over \$100 million (including PE, ROW and Construction) are considered major projects, which require a financial plan that is approved by Caltrans. Caltrans will assist in ensuring major requirements are met. More information can be found here: <a href="http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ipd/project\_delivery/resources/financial\_plans/guidance.aspx">http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ipd/project\_delivery/resources/financial\_plans/guidance.aspx</a>. Ahead of the April 29, 2015 Caltrans Sub Regional Work Group meeting, Robert Wong requested agencies to identify upcoming or on-going projects that will require Request For Authorizations (RFA) this Fiscal Year. Caltrans can assist where needed. Robert Wong noted the new Deputy of Planning is Gary Slater, the new Office Chief is Steve Novatny and the HSIP, as well as ATP, project calls will occur in the end of March or beginning of April. Discussions continued on future Toll Credit changes; a memo will be published soon, regarding.

#### 5. Bicycle Wayfinding Request for Proposals – Action

Carlos Hernandez provided updates to the TTAC noting that, based on comments received by the local bicycle groups, slight changes have been made to the draft RFP scope of work, as follows:

- State highways will be included, as well as local roads if the jurisdiction or local agency approves the inclusion.
- The identification of principal destinations and attractions (task A-2) was eliminated.

David Fleisch moved to approve, for Commission action, the Regional Bicycle Wayfinding draft RFP. Cliff Finely seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously.

#### 6. Advancement of State Highway Projects - Action

Staff recommended TTAC approve, for Commission action, programming 3 million of STP funds to Caltrans to advance from FY 17/18 to FY 15/16 the Route 118 Widening Project Approval/Environmental Document (PAED) phase and 14 million of STP funds to VCTC to advance FY 17/18 to 15/16 to the Route 101 Widening PAED phase. TTAC discussed VCTC taking over the PAED phase for Route 118 Widening project as well, to ensure a timely, uniformly managed PAED process

and completion. David Fleisch noted that 15/16 STP funding is not programmed because local agencies have not asked to program that funding; staff noted that the programming of STP funds stopped when the Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) passed. David Fleisch moved to table this item for at least one month, requesting that the item return after external meetings and discussions occur with various County and City officials have occurred. Tom Mericle seconded the motion. TTAC Members agreed that, appropriately, the VCTC can re-confirm policy via the Budget process. A voice vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously.

#### 7. Active Transportation Program Cycle Two Discussion

Discussion was had on the potential for an additional ATP project application; Santa Barbara County possibly could submit an application for a Class 1 bicycle path to complete segment gaps within the Coastal Bike Path, with Ventura County possibly being a co-applicant. Discussion continued on how to develop a policy for how to assign the additional ten points, afforded by SCAG, for ATP project ranking. The SCAG guidelines will be approved soon and the ten points need to relay on an existing, formal plan. TTAC formed a subcommittee to determine how to best allocate the ten points for ATP projects; the subcommittee members were determined to be Ellen Talbo of VCTC, Derek Towers of the City of Ventura, Ben Emami of Ventura County and Mike Tohidian of Thousand Oaks. The subcommittee will meet soon and the item will return to TTAC in the next two months, depending on the timeline for adoption of the guidelines.

#### 8. Future Agenda Items

The CMAQ project selection discussion, as well as the discussion on advancing State Highway Projects with STP Funding will occur at the April meeting. Items regarding the ATP Cycle Two processes will occur at future meetings. The Congestion Management Program (CMP) items will occur as soon as possible.

#### 9. Adjournment

Chair Tom Mericle moved to adjourn the meeting at 10:31 a.m. David Fleisch seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.



Item #5

April16, 2015

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TTAC)

FROM: PETER DE HAAN, PROGRAMMING DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: CMAQ CALL FOR PROJECTS

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

• Recommend the Commission program \$6,638,054 of CMAQ funds to the transit projects prioritized "above the line" in the attachment.

Recommend the Commission approve the shelf list of \$4,759,838 for the projects in the
attachment above the shelf list cut off, should the funds become available in FY 2015/16 or
before, with the stipulation that TTAC need not be consulted again if projects are approved
in order from the shelf list.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

TRANSCOM and TTAC at their October meetings approved the CMAQ call for projects guidelines, and VCTC approved the guidelines on November 7<sup>th</sup>. Unlike in prior years, the guidelines for this call for projects provide for separate scoring of transit and non-transit (primarily bicycle and pedestrian) projects, with TRANSCOM to review the transit projects and TTAC the non-transit projects. There is approximately \$6 million available for transit projects and \$6.5 million for non-transit projects.

As specified in the guidelines, project applications were due to VCTC on January 15<sup>th</sup>. However, at its February meeting the Commission provided until March 13<sup>th</sup> an opportunity for local agencies to revise their applications to increase the local match amounts. Prior to the deadline proposals for increased local match were received from Simi Valley, Santa Paula, and VCTC, for transit projects only. Also, an opportunity was provided to submit an application to use CMAQ as match for Active Transportation Program projects in the state's current call for projects, but no such requests were received. The total requested amount of CMAQ for non-transit projects comes to \$16,381,006.

The initial scoring of projects was done by VCTC staff, with the exception of the Air Quality criterion which was scored by APCD staff. These draft scores were then reviewed and modified by a review committee consisting of VCTC, APCD, and the designated representatives of

TRANSCOM and TTAC. The resulting scores are provided in the attachment with the funding cut off based on the currently available funds.

The project ranking also includes a shelf list cutoff which is a number slightly larger than the amount of anticipated FY 2015/16 funds. Based on the prior Committee discussion, it is recommended that TTAC stipulate that shelf list projects can be approve in the approved sequence as funds become available, without returning to TTAC for additional action. Commission approval to move a project up from the shelf list would still be required but could be on the Consent Calendar of the agenda

### Item#5, Attachment

													1011	$1\pi 0$ , Attac
PROJECT NAME/ DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	FEDERAL FUNDS REQUESTED	TOTAL PROJECT CO	ST	SELECTION CRITERIA & SCORING								CUMULATIVE SUM	
				Improve Mobility (up to 20 points)	Improve air quality (up to 15 points)	Multi Modal / HOV (up to 10 points)	Funding Leverage (up to 15 points)	Priority Project (10)	Project Readiness (10)	Safety & Security (Up to 10)	Cost Effectiveness (Up to 10)			
Five Points Intersection	., ,	<b>*</b> 000 000	450.0	00	40	40	4.5	40	0	40	40	0.7	_	200 000
Improvements	Ventura	\$ 300,000	\$ 450,0	00 20	12	10	15	10	0	10	10	87	\$	300,000
Pedestrian and Bike Safety	Thousand Oaks	\$ 972,200	¢ 10.104.0	00 20	10	5	15	10	10	10	5	0.5	\$	4 070 000
Improvements at Erbes Rd.	Thousand Oaks	\$ 972,200	\$ 12,104,9	20	10	5	15	10	10	10	5	65	Þ	1,272,200
Pleasant Valley Rd./East Fifth	Vontura County	\$ 840,000	\$ 1,200,0	00 15	10	5	15	10	0	10	8	72	\$	2 112 200
St. Intersection Improvements Rio Real Elementary School	Ventura County	\$ 640,000	\$ 1,200,0	JU 15	10	3	10	10	U	10	0	73	Þ	2,112,200
Pedestrian and Street	Ventura County	\$ 280,000	\$ 400.0	00 20	12	0	15	0	0	10	10	67	\$	2,392,200
Pedestrian Safety Improvements	Ventura County	\$ 200,000	\$ 400,0	20	12	U	13	U	U	10	10	07	Ą	2,392,200
on Ojai Ave. and Maricopa Hwy*	Ojai	\$ 500,000	\$ 564,7	30 20	12	5	0	10	0	10	10	67	\$	2,892,200
West Los Angeles Ave.	Ojui	ψ 000,000	Ψ 004,7	20	12	Ŭ		10		10	- 10	07	Ψ	2,002,200
Improvements	Simi Valley	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,0	00 15	10	5	0	10	10	10	3	63	\$	3,892,200
Pedestrian Crossing Safety		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ψ .,σσσ,σ								·		_	0,002,200
Beacons	Oxnard	\$ 295,274	\$ 333,5	30 20	12	5	0	0	0	10	10	57	\$	4,187,474
Pedestrian Improvements at		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									-		, - ,
Camarillo Heights Elementary	Ventura County	\$ 400,000	\$ 570,0	00 15	10	0	15	0	0	10	7	57	\$	4,587,474
Oxnard Blvd. Bike and														
Pedestrian Facilities	Oxnard	\$ 1,379,900	\$ 1,558,6	30 15	10	10	0	10	0	5	7	57	\$	5,967,374
Pedestrian and Bike Safety														
Improvements at Moorpark Rd.	Thousand Oaks	\$ 670,680	\$ 838,3	50 20	8	10	0	0	0	10	7	55	\$	6,638,054
Las Posas Rd. Bike Lanes	Camarillo	\$ 331,988	\$ 375,0	00 15	12	0	0	10	0	10	6	53	\$	6,970,042
Las Posas Rd. Bike Lanes	Ventura County	\$ 483,000	\$ 690,0	00 10	12	0	15	0	0	10	5	52	\$	7,453,042
Pedestrian and Bike Safety														
Improvements at Rancho Rd.	Thousand Oaks	\$ 821,600	\$ 1,027,0	00 15	8	10	0	0	0	10	7	50	\$	8,274,642
Santa Ana Rd. Pavement														
Widening and Bike Lanes	Ventura County	\$ 910,000	\$ 1,300,0	00 10	8	0	15	0	0	10	5	48	\$	9,184,642
Pleasant Valley Rd. Bike Lanes	Camarillo	\$ 2,213,250	\$ 2,500,0	00 20	12	0	0	0	0	10	5	47	\$	11,397,892
Vineyard Ave. Bike Lanes	Oxnard	\$ 588,400	\$ 784.5	00 10	12	5	10	0	0	5	4	46	\$	11,986,292
Las Posas Rd. Widening at Daily		+ 333,100	+ .51,0	10	<u>'-</u>	Ť		J		Ŭ	·	<u></u>		,000,202
Dr.	Camarillo	\$ 1,770,600	\$ 2,000,0	00 20	10	0	0	0	0		5	45	\$	13,756,892
Central Ave. Bike Lanes	Camarillo	\$ 1,593,540	\$ 1,800,0			0	0	0	0		5			15,350,432
Tapo Street Widening	Simi Valley	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,0	00 15	10	5	0	0	0	0	6	36	\$	15,650,432
Eastbound Madera Road		l	l										l . ¯	
Wideningand East Country Club	Simi Valley	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,0	00 10	10	5	0	0	0	5	6	36	\$	16,450,432

## Item#5, Attachment (cont'd)

PROJECT NAME/ DESCRIPTION	AGENCY	FEDERAL FUNDS REQUESTED	TOTAL PROJECT COST	SELECTION CRITERIA & SCORING						TOTAL SCORE	CUMULATIVE SUM			
				Improve Mobility (up to 20 points)	Improve air quality (up to 15 points)	Multi Modal / HOV (up to 10 points)	Funding Leverage (up to 15 points)	Priority Project (10)	Project Readiness (10)	Safety & Security (Up to 10)	Cost Effectiveness (Up to 10)			
US-101 Northbound Auxillary Lane (Design Only)*	Camarillo (Regional)	\$ 1,682,070	\$ 1,900,000	15		5	0	0	0	3		33	3 \$	18,132,502
Santa Clara River Trail, Victoria Ave. Segment	Oxnard	\$ 1,312,075		10	10	5	5	0	0	0	0		\$	19,444,577
Arneill Rd. and Pickwick Dr. Pedestrian Access	Camarillo	\$ 88,530	\$ 100,000	5	3	0	0	0	0	7	10	2!	5 \$	19,533,107